

EXHIBIT 1

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

JEFFREY LAYDON, on behalf of himself and all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

THE BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD., THE
SUMITOMO TRUST AND BANKING CO., LTD., THE
NORINCHUKIN BANK, MITSUBISHI UFJ TRUST AND
BANKING CORPORATION, SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING
CORPORATION, J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO., J.P. MORGAN
CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, J.P. MORGAN
SECURITIES PLC, MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK, LTD.,
DEUTSCHE BANK AG, THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD.,
SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, UBS AG, UBS SECURITIES JAPAN
CO. LTD., THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SOCIÉTÉ
GÉNÉRALE SA, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP
PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS
SECURITIES JAPAN LIMITED, BARCLAYS BANK PLC,
CITIBANK, NA, CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, JAPAN LTD.,
CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS JAPAN, INC.,
COÖPERATIEVE CENTRALE RAIFFEISEN-
BOERENLEENBANK B.A., HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC
BANK PLC, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, ICAP EUROPE
LIMITED, R.P. MARTIN HOLDINGS LIMITED, MARTIN
BROKERS (UK) LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, AND JOHN
DOE NOS. 1-50,

Defendants.

Docket No. 12-cv-3419
(GBD) (HBP)

**AMENDED
STIPULATION AND
AGREEMENT OF
SETTLEMENT**

SONTERRA CAPITAL MASTER FUND, LTD., HAYMAN CAPITAL MASTER FUND, L.P., JAPAN MACRO OPPORTUNITIES MASTER FUND, L.P., and CALIFORNIA STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT SYSTEM on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

UBS AG, UBS SECURITIES JAPAN CO. LTD., MIZUHO BANK, LTD., THE BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD., THE SUMITOMO TRUST AND BANKING CO., LTD., THE NORINCHUKIN BANK, MITSUBISHI UFJ TRUST AND BANKING CORPORATION, SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING CORPORATION, RESONA BANK, LTD., J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC, MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK, LTD., DEUTSCHE BANK AG, DB GROUP SERVICES UK LIMITED, MIZUHO TRUST AND BANKING CO., LTD., THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE SA, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS SECURITIES JAPAN LIMITED, RBS SECURITIES INC., BARCLAYS BANK PLC, BARCLAYS PLC, BARCLAYS CAPITAL INC., CITIBANK, NA, CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, JAPAN LTD., CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS JAPAN, INC., COÖPERATIEVE CENTRALE RAIFFEISEN-BOERENLEENBANK B.A., HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK PLC, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, LLOYDS BANK PLC, ICAP PLC, ICAP EUROPE LIMITED, R.P. MARTIN HOLDINGS LIMITED, MARTIN BROKERS (UK) LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL, AND JOHN DOE NOS. 1-50,

Defendants.

Docket No. 15-cv-5844
(GBD) (HBP)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	TERMS USED IN THIS AGREEMENT	4
2.	SETTLEMENT CLASS	13
3.	SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS	13
4.	COOPERATION	14
5.	PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS’ FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND APPLICATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARD	19
6.	APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF FEES, EXPENSES, AND COSTS OF SETTLEMENT FUND ADMINISTRATION	22
7.	NO LIABILITY FOR FEES AND EXPENSES OF CLASS COUNSEL	22
8.	DISTRIBUTION OF AND/OR DISBURSEMENTS FROM SETTLEMENT FUND ...	22
9.	DISBURSEMENTS PRIOR TO EFFECTIVE DATE.....	23
10.	DISTRIBUTION OF BALANCES REMAINING IN NET SETTLEMENT FUND TO AUTHORIZED CLAIMANTS	24
11.	ADMINISTRATION/MAINTENANCE OF SETTLEMENT FUND.....	25
12.	RELEASE AND COVENANT NOT TO SUE	25
13.	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	27
14.	CLASS NOTICE	28
15.	PUBLICATION.....	29
16.	MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL AND ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT	30
17.	BEST EFFORTS TO EFFECTUATE THIS SETTLEMENT.....	32
18.	EFFECTIVE DATE.....	32
19.	OCCURRENCE OF EFFECTIVE DATE.....	33
20.	FAILURE OF EFFECTIVE DATE TO OCCUR.....	34
21.	TERMINATION.....	34
22.	EFFECT OF TERMINATION AS TO ALL PARTIES.....	36
23.	EFFECT OF TERMINATION AS TO FEWER THAN ALL SETTLING DEFENDANTS	38
24.	SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT.....	39
25.	CONFIDENTIALITY PROTECTION.....	40
26.	BINDING EFFECT	40
27.	INTEGRATED AGREEMENT.....	40
28.	HEADINGS	41

29. NO PARTY IS THE DRAFTER 41

30. CHOICE OF LAW 41

31. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS 41

32. SUBMISSION TO AND RETENTION OF JURISDICTION..... 42

33. CONTRIBUTION AND INDEMNIFICATION 42

34. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS 43

35. NOTICES..... 43

36. AUTHORITY 43

37. DISPUTES OR CONTROVERSIES..... 44

38. STAY 45

AMENDED STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT

THIS AMENDED STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT (the “**Settlement Agreement**”) is made and entered into on September 5, 2019. This Settlement Agreement is entered into on behalf of Representative Plaintiffs Jeffrey Laydon, Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd., Hayman Capital Master Fund, L.P., Japan Macro Opportunities Master Fund, L.P., and the California State Teachers’ Retirement System and the Settlement Class (as defined in Section 1(F) herein), by and through Representative Plaintiffs’ Class Counsel (as defined in Section 1(G) herein), and on behalf of Defendants The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd. (“The Bank of Yokohama”), Shinkin Central Bank (“Shinkin”), The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd. (“Shoko Chukin”), Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited and its predecessors (“Sumitomo”),¹ and Resona Bank, Ltd. (“Resona”) (collectively, the “Settling Defendants” and, individually, a “Settling Defendant”) by and through their undersigned counsel of record in these Actions (as defined in Section 1(A) herein). This Settlement Agreement amends and supersedes the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement among the Representative Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants, dated March 19, 2019.

WHEREAS, Representative Plaintiffs have filed two putative class actions, *Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd., et al.*, Case No. 12-cv-3419 (GBD) (HBP) (S.D.N.Y.) (“*Laydon*”) and *Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd., et al. v. UBS AG, et al.*, Case No. 15-cv-05844 (GBD) (HBP) (S.D.N.Y.) (“*Sonterra*”), and have alleged, among other things, that Defendants (as defined in Section 1(L) herein), including Settling Defendants, from January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2011, acted unlawfully by, *inter alia*, manipulating, aiding and abetting the

¹ Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited was formerly known, and was sued in the Actions, as The Sumitomo Trust and Banking Co., Ltd. (“STB”). The Chuo Mitsui Trust and Banking Company, Limited, which was also sued in the *Laydon* action, merged into STB prior to the Actions to form Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited.

manipulation of, and conspiring, colluding or engaging in racketeering activities to manipulate Yen-LIBOR, Euroyen TIBOR, and the prices of Euroyen-Based Derivatives (as defined in Sections 1(RR), 1(R), and 1(Q) respectively herein), in violation of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, and federal and state common law;

WHEREAS, Representative Plaintiffs further contend that they and the Settlement Class are entitled to monetary damages as a result of Settling Defendants' and other Defendants' conduct;

WHEREAS, Settling Defendants deny the material allegations in Representative Plaintiffs' pleadings, expressly incorporate their responsive pleadings, as applicable, and maintain that they have good and meritorious defenses, including lack of personal jurisdiction, to the claims of liability and damages made by Representative Plaintiffs;

WHEREAS, arm's-length settlement negotiations have taken place between Representative Plaintiffs, Class Counsel, counsel for Settling Defendants, and Settling Defendants, and this Settlement Agreement has been reached, subject to the final approval of the Court;

WHEREAS, Settling Defendants agree to cooperate with Representative Plaintiffs and Class Counsel as set forth below in this Settlement Agreement;

WHEREAS, Class Counsel conducted an investigation of the facts and the law regarding the Actions (as defined in Section 1(A) herein), considered the Settlement set forth herein to be fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of Representative Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class, and determined that it is in the best interests of the Settlement Class to enter into this

Settlement Agreement in order to avoid the uncertainties of complex litigation and to assure a benefit to the Settlement Class;

WHEREAS, Settling Defendants, while continuing to deny that they are liable for the claims asserted against them in the Actions and believing that they have good and meritorious defenses thereto, have nevertheless agreed to enter into this Agreement to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation, thereby putting this controversy to rest and avoiding the risks inherent in complex litigation;

WHEREAS, Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd. agrees that it will not use this Settlement as evidence that it has the authority and/or capacity to bring this or any other action against Settling Defendants for alleged unlawful conduct of any kind;

WHEREAS, by entering into the Settlement, Settling Defendants do not concede that Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd. had or has the authority and/or capacity to bring and maintain any action other than the Actions;

WHEREAS the Court dismissed the *Sonterra* action in its entirety in an order dated March 10, 2017;

WHEREAS, the Court's decision dismissing the *Sonterra* action currently is on appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit (the "Second Circuit");

WHEREAS, the Parties enter into the Settlement with full knowledge that adverse or favorable court decisions and/or other events, including those pertaining to any of the Representative Plaintiffs' capacity to serve as litigants or class representatives, may take place in the future that might affect the positions of the Parties, including prior to the entry of the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment, and they intend to be bound by this Settlement, subject to final approval of the Court, notwithstanding the possibility or occurrence of any such future

events or changes in position; and

NOW, THEREFORE, Representative Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the Settlement Class by and through Class Counsel, and Settling Defendants, by and through the undersigned counsel, agree that the Actions and Released Claims (as defined in Section 1(GG) herein) be settled, compromised, and dismissed on the merits and with prejudice as to Settling Defendants and Released Parties and without costs as to Representative Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class, or Settling Defendants, subject to the approval of the Court, on the following terms and conditions:

1. Terms Used In This Agreement

The words and terms used in this Stipulation and Settlement Agreement, which are expressly defined below, shall have the meaning ascribed to them.

(A) **“Actions”** means *Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd. et al.*, Case No. 12-cv-3419 (GBD) (HBP) (S.D.N.Y.) and *Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd., et al. v. UBS AG, et al.*, Case No. 15-cv-05844 (GBD) (HBP) (S.D.N.Y.), collectively.

(B) **“Agreement”** or **“Settlement Agreement”** means this Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, together with any exhibits attached hereto, which are incorporated herein by reference.

(C) **“Any”** means one or more.

(D) **“Authorized Claimant”** means any Class Member who, in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, is entitled to a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund pursuant to any Distribution Plan or order of the Court.

(E) **“Business Days”** means Monday through Friday, inclusive, of each week unless such day is a holiday in the United States, New York, or Japan.

(F) **“Class”** or **“Settlement Class”** means all Persons who purchased, sold, held, traded, or otherwise had any interest in Euroyen-Based Derivatives during the Class Period, provided that, if Representative Plaintiffs expand the Class in any subsequent amended complaint, class motion, or settlement, the defined Class in this Agreement shall be expanded so as to be coterminous with such expansion. Excluded from the Settlement Class are the Defendants (as defined in Section 1(L) herein) and any parent, subsidiary, affiliate or agent of any Defendant or any co-conspirator whether or not named as a Defendant, and the United States Government.

(G) **“Class Counsel”** means Lowey Dannenberg, P.C.

(H) **“Class Member”** means a Person who is a member of the Class.

(I) **“Class Notice”** means the form of notice of the proposed Settlement to be distributed to the Settlement Class as provided in this Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order.

(J) **“Class Period”** means the period of January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2011.

(K) **“Court”** means the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

(L) **“Defendants”** means the defendants previously named in the Actions, currently named in the Actions, and any parties that may be added to the Actions as defendants through amended or supplemental pleadings.

(M) **“Distribution Plan”** means the plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund, which was previously approved by the Court in connection with the Representative Plaintiffs’ settlement of the Actions with R.P. Martin Holdings Limited, Martin Brokers

(UK) Ltd., Citibank, N.A., Citigroup Inc., Citibank Japan Ltd., Citigroup Global Markets Japan Inc., HSBC Holdings plc, HSBC Bank plc, Deutsche Bank AG, DB Group Services (UK) Ltd., JPMorgan Chase & Co., JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, and J.P. Morgan Securities PLC, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. (now known as MUFG Bank, Ltd.) (“BTMU”) and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation or any revised plan of allocation which Representative Plaintiffs shall provide to Settling Defendants at least five Business Days before it is submitted to the Court, whereby the Net Settlement Fund shall in the future be distributed to Authorized Claimants.

(N) **“Effective Date”** means the date when this Settlement Agreement becomes final as set forth in Section 18 of this Settlement Agreement.

(O) **“Escrow Account”** means an interest-bearing account mutually agreeable to the Parties and administered by the Escrow Agent.

(P) **“Escrow Agent”** means any Person designated by Class Counsel with the consent of Settling Defendants, who Class Counsel anticipates will be Citibank, N.A., and approved by the Court to act as escrow agent for the Settlement Fund.

(Q) **“Euroyen-Based Derivatives”** means (i) a Euroyen TIBOR futures contract on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (“CME”); (ii) a Euroyen TIBOR futures contract on the Tokyo Financial Exchange, Inc. (“TFX”), Singapore Exchange (“SGX”), or London International Financial Futures and Options Exchange (“LIFFE”) entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.; (iii) a Japanese Yen currency futures contract on the CME; (iv) a Yen-LIBOR- and/or Euroyen TIBOR-based interest rate swap entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or

through a location within the U.S.; (v) an option on a Yen-LIBOR- and/or a Euroyen TIBOR-based interest rate swap (“swaption”) entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.; (vi) a Japanese Yen currency forward agreement entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.; and/or (vii) a Yen-LIBOR- and/or Euroyen TIBOR-based forward rate agreement entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.

(R) **“Euroyen TIBOR”** means the Euroyen Tokyo Interbank Offered Rate.

(S) **“Execution Date”** means the date on which this Agreement is executed by the last Party to do so.

(T) **“Fairness Hearing”** means a hearing scheduled by the Court following the issuance of the Preliminary Approval Order to consider the fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of the proposed Settlement and Settlement Agreement.

(U) **“Final”** means, with respect to any court order, including, without limitation, the Final Judgment, that such order represents a final and binding determination of all issues within its scope and is not subject to further review on appeal or otherwise. An order becomes “Final” when: (i) no appeal has been filed and the prescribed time for commencing any appeal has expired; or (ii) an appeal has been filed and either (a) the appeal has been dismissed and the prescribed time, if any, for commencing any further appeal has expired, or (b) the order has been affirmed in its entirety and the prescribed time, if any, for commencing any further appeal has expired. Any appeal or other proceeding pertaining solely to any order adopting or approving the Distribution Plan, and/or any order issued in respect of an application for attorneys’ fees

and expenses pursuant to Sections 5 and 6 below, shall not in any way delay or prevent the Final Judgment from becoming Final.

(V) **“Final Approval Order”** means an order of the Court, in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit B-1 (and Exhibit B-2, if the Court then has jurisdiction over the *Sonterra* action as against any Settling Defendant due to the dismissal of the *Sonterra* action being reversed or vacated as to the Settling Defendant on appeal) approving of the Settlement following (i) preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement, (ii) the issuance of the Class Notice pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, and (iii) the Fairness Hearing.

(W) **“Final Judgment”** means the order of judgment and dismissal of the Actions with prejudice as to Settling Defendants, in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit C-1 (and Exhibit C-2, if the Court then has jurisdiction over the *Sonterra* action as against any Settling Defendant due to the dismissal of the *Sonterra* action being reversed or vacated as to the Settling Defendant on appeal).

(X) **“Incentive Award”** means any award by the Court to Representative Plaintiffs as described in Section 5.

(Y) **“Investment Vehicles”** means any investment company, separately managed account or pooled investment fund managed or advised by Settling Defendants, or an affiliate, including, but not limited to: (i) mutual fund families, exchange-traded funds, funds of funds and hedge funds; and (ii) employee benefit plans as to which Settling Defendants or their affiliate acts as investment advisor or otherwise may be a fiduciary.

(Z) **“Net Settlement Fund”** means the Settlement Fund less Court-approved disbursements, including: (i) notice, claims administration and escrow costs; (ii) any attorneys’ fees and/or expenses awarded by the Court; (iii) any Incentive Award(s) awarded by the Court; and (iv) all other expenses, costs, taxes and other charges approved by the Court.

(AA) **“Other Settlement”** means any stipulation and settlement agreement Representative Plaintiffs reach with any other Defendant involving these Actions that will be submitted to the Court for notice to be provided in the same Class Notice as notice of this Settlement Agreement.

(BB) **“Parties”** means Settling Defendants and Representative Plaintiffs collectively, and **“Party”** applies to each individually.

(CC) **“Person”** means a natural person, corporation, limited liability corporation, professional corporation, limited liability partnership, partnership, limited partnership, association, joint-stock company, estate, legal representative, trust, unincorporated association, proprietorship, municipality, state, state agency, entity that is a creature of any state, any government, governmental or quasi-governmental body or political subdivision, authority, office, bureau, agency or instrumentality of the government, any business or legal entity, or any other entity or organization; and any spouses, heirs, predecessors, successors, representatives or assigns of any of the foregoing.

(DD) **“Plaintiffs’ Counsel”** means Class Counsel and other counsel for the Representative Plaintiffs.

(EE) **“Preliminary Approval Order”** means an order of the Court, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, issued in response to the Motion for Preliminary Approval described in Section 13.

(FF) **“Proof of Claim and Release”** means the form to be sent to potential Class Members, upon further order(s) of the Court, by which any Class Member may make a claim against the Net Settlement Fund.

(GG) **“Released Claims”** means those claims described in Section 12 of this Settlement Agreement.

(HH) **“Released Parties”** means Settling Defendants, their predecessors, successors and assigns, their direct and indirect parents, subsidiaries and affiliates, and joint ventures and their respective current and former officers, directors, employees, managers, members, partners, agents (in their capacity as agents of Settling Defendants), shareholders (in their capacity as shareholders of Settling Defendants), attorneys, insurers, or legal representatives, and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of each of the foregoing. As used in this provision, “affiliates” means entities controlling, controlled by, or under common control with a Released Party. For the avoidance of doubt, “Released Parties” shall not include any named Defendants other than Settling Defendants.

(II) **“Releasing Parties”** means each and every Settling Class Member on their own behalf and on behalf of their respective predecessors, successors and assigns, direct and indirect parents, subsidiaries and affiliates, and on behalf of their current and former officers, directors, employees, agents, principals, members, trustees, estates, participants, representatives, fiduciaries, beneficiaries, or legal representatives in their

capacity as such, and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of each of the foregoing in their capacity as such. Notwithstanding that the U.S. Government is excluded from the Settlement Class, with respect to any Settling Class Member that is a government entity, Releasing Parties include any Settling Class Member as to which the government entity has the legal right to release such claims. As used in this provision, “affiliates” means entities controlling, controlled by, or under common control with a Releasing Party. For the avoidance of doubt, the “Releasing Parties” include all Persons entitled to bring claims on behalf of Settling Class Members, relating to their transactions in Euroyen-Based Derivatives or any similar financial instruments priced, benchmarked, or settled to or otherwise affected by Yen-LIBOR or Euroyen TIBOR held by Representative Plaintiffs or Settling Class Members (to the extent such similar financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.).

(JJ) **“Representative Plaintiffs”** means Jeffrey Laydon, Sonterra Capital Master Fund, Ltd., Hayman Capital Master Fund, L.P., Japan Macro Opportunities Master Fund, L.P., and the California State Teachers’ Retirement System and any other Person named as a named plaintiff in the Actions who was not subsequently withdrawn as a named plaintiff, and any named plaintiff who may be added to either of the Actions through amended or supplemental pleadings. This Settlement Agreement is entered into with each and every Representative Plaintiff. In the event that one or more Representative Plaintiff(s) fails to secure court approval to act as a Representative Plaintiff, the validity of this Settlement Agreement as to the remaining Representative Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class, and Class Counsel shall be unaffected.

(KK) “**Settlement**” means the settlement of the Released Claims set forth herein.

(LL) “**Settlement Administrator**” means any Person that the Court approves to perform the tasks necessary to provide notice of the Settlement to the Class and to otherwise administer the Settlement Fund, as described further herein.

(MM) “**Settlement Amount**” means a total of thirty-one million and seven hundred fifty thousand U.S. dollars (\$31,750,000.00), responsibility for which is allocated among the Settling Defendants as provided in Section 3.

(NN) “**Settlement Fund**” means the Settlement Amount plus any interest that may accrue.

(OO) “**Settling Class Members**” means Representative Plaintiffs and other members of the Settlement Class who do not timely and validly exclude themselves from the Settlement pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c) and in accordance with the procedure to be established by the Court.

(PP) “**Settling Defendants**” means The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd. (“The Bank of Yokohama”), Shinkin Central Bank (“Shinkin”), The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd. (“Shoko Chukin”), Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited and its predecessors (“Sumitomo”), and Resona Bank, Ltd. (“Resona”).

(QQ) “**U.S. Person**” means a citizen, resident, or domiciliary of the United States or its territories; a corporation, including a limited liability company, either incorporated, organized or headquartered in the United States or its territories; a partnership created or resident in the United States or its territories; any other Person or entity created and/or formed under the laws of the United States, including any state or

territory thereof; or any other Person or entity residing or domiciled in the United States or its territories.

(RR) “**Yen-LIBOR**” means the London Interbank Offered Rate for the Japanese Yen.

2. Settlement Class

Representative Plaintiff Jeffrey Laydon will file an application, as part of the motion for preliminary approval under Section 13, seeking the certification of the Settlement Class as described herein pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Notwithstanding the sentence in Section 1(F) above that “[e]xcluded from the Settlement Class are the Defendants (as defined in Section 1(L) herein) and any parent, subsidiary, affiliate or agent of any Defendant or any co-conspirator whether or not named as a defendant, and the United States Government,” and solely for purposes of this Settlement and this Settlement Class, the Parties agree that Investment Vehicles shall not be excluded from the Settlement Class solely on the basis of being deemed to be Defendants or affiliates or subsidiaries of Defendants. However, to the extent that any Defendant or any entity that might be deemed to be an affiliate or subsidiary thereof (i) managed or advised any Investment Vehicle, and (ii) any Settling Defendant either directly or indirectly held a beneficial interest in that Investment Vehicle during the Class Period, that beneficial interest held by any Settling Defendant in the Investment Vehicle is excluded from the Settlement Class.

3. Settlement Payments

Each Settling Defendant shall pay by wire transfer to the Escrow Account that Settling Defendant’s share of the Settlement Amount -- \$7,500,000 for each of The Bank of Yokohama, Shinkin, Shoko Chukin and Sumitomo, and \$1,750,000 for Resona -- within ten (10) Business

Days after the Court preliminarily approves the Settlement, provided that no later than two (2) Business Days after the Court preliminarily approves the Settlement, Class Counsel shall provide the undersigned counsel for each Settling Defendant with wire transfer information for the Escrow Account and any other information that a Settling Defendant reasonably may request. Assuming such wire transfer and other information is timely provided, each Settling Defendant shall be obligated to instruct its bank to wire its share of the Settlement Amount to the Escrow Account within ten (10) Business Days after the Court preliminarily approves the Settlement. Each Settling Defendant shall be responsible solely for payment of its abovementioned share of the Settlement Amount, and in no event shall any Settling Defendant have any responsibility or liability for the payment of any portion of another Settling Defendant's share of the Settlement Amount or the administration or investment of the Escrow Account. All interest earned by any portion of the Settlement Amount paid into the Escrow Account shall be added to and become part of the Settlement Fund. Upon occurrence of the Effective Date, no funds may be returned to Settling Defendants through a reversion or other means. The Escrow Agent shall only act in accordance with instructions mutually agreed upon by the Parties in writing, except as otherwise provided in this Agreement. Other than the payment of the Settlement Amount as set forth in this Section 3, Settling Defendants shall have no responsibility for any interest, costs, or other monetary payment, including any attorneys' fees and expenses, taxes, or costs of notice or claims administration, except that Settling Defendants shall be responsible for notice as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1715, as set forth in Section 14(B).

4. Cooperation

(A) Following preliminary approval of the Settlement, each Settling Defendant shall provide reasonable cooperation in the Actions, as described in this Section 4, to benefit the

Settlement Class. All cooperation shall be coordinated in such a manner so that all unnecessary duplication and expense is avoided and with a view towards minimizing unnecessary burdens and costs to each Settling Defendant in connection with collecting, reviewing and producing data that have not already been collected in the course of the Actions, related settlements, reports and/or investigations by regulatory agencies.

(B) Notwithstanding any other provision in this Agreement, Settling Defendants shall have no obligation to produce any data or provide any information that is privileged under the attorney-client privilege, work-product doctrine, joint-defense privilege, common-interest doctrine, bank examination privilege, and/or other applicable privilege or immunity from disclosure. None of the cooperation provisions set forth herein are intended to, nor do they, waive any such privileges or immunities. Settling Defendants agree that their counsel will meet with Class Counsel as is reasonably necessary to discuss any applicable privilege. Any disputes regarding privilege shall be reserved for resolution pursuant to the alternative dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section 37 of this Settlement Agreement. At a reasonable time to be negotiated in good faith, each Settling Defendant agrees to provide Representative Plaintiffs, through Class Counsel, with (a) a privilege log for any relevant data reasonably requested by Representative Plaintiffs as cooperation discovery in accordance with this Settlement Agreement that such Settling Defendant withholds on the basis of any privilege, doctrine, immunity or regulatory objection, if and to the extent such privilege logs are reasonably necessary to establish the basis for Settling Defendant's withholding of the data and (b) any existing privilege logs for documents that such Settling Defendant withheld from U.S. governmental authorities (including the United States Department of Justice and United States Commodity Futures Trading Commission) but not from any non-U.S. governmental authority, as part of its investigation, if

any, into such Settling Defendant's alleged manipulation of Yen-LIBOR and Euroyen TIBOR and Euroyen-Based Derivatives, to the extent such privilege logs relate to data reasonably requested by Representative Plaintiffs as cooperation materials herein. A Settling Defendant's production of existing privilege logs, if any, will be made in such a way so as not to identify the regulatory agency or agencies from which such Settling Defendant withheld the logged data or information. The Parties agree that their counsel shall meet and confer with each other regarding any dispute as to the privileges and protections described in this Paragraph. To the extent the parties cannot resolve any such disputes, they shall be reserved for resolution pursuant to the alternative dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section 37 of this Settlement Agreement. If any data protected by the attorney-client privilege, work-product doctrine, the common interest doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the bank examination privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege or protection is accidentally or inadvertently produced, Representative Plaintiffs shall, upon notice from a Settling Defendant, promptly cease reviewing the data and shall return the data and all copies of it to such Settling Defendant's counsel within five (5) Business Days. Representative Plaintiffs and their counsel shall also delete or destroy the portions of any other data, documents or work product which refer to or summarize the data within five (5) Business Days. The data shall not be used or referred to in any way by Representative Plaintiffs or their counsel, and its production shall in no way be construed to have waived any privilege, protection or restriction attached to such data or information.

(C) Notwithstanding any other provision in this Agreement, Settling Defendants shall have no obligation to produce any data or provide any information that is restricted from disclosure under any applicable domestic or foreign data privacy, bank secrecy, state secrets, or other law or duty of confidentiality. In the event that a Settling Defendant withholds data or

information to be provided in this Section 4, the Parties agree to meet and confer regarding whether and how such data or information might be made available to Representative Plaintiffs or the Settlement Administrator.

(D) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, in the event that a Settling Defendant believes that Class Counsel has requested cooperation of a kind or to an extent that is not reasonable or not within the scope of Settling Defendants' obligations as set forth herein, such Settling Defendant's counsel and Class Counsel agree to meet and confer with each other regarding such disagreement and to seek resolution pursuant to the alternative dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section 37 of this Settlement Agreement if necessary.

(E) Representative Plaintiffs and Class Counsel agree to use any and all of the documents, data, and information obtained from Settling Defendants, either pursuant to Section 4 or previously produced by Settling Defendants to Representative Plaintiffs, only for the purpose of the Actions, and agree to be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Protective Order entered in the Actions, *see Laydon*, ECF No. 349 (August 8, 2014 Protective Order), with respect to such documents, data, and information. For the avoidance of doubt, Representative Plaintiffs and Class Counsel expressly agree that the documents, data and/or information provided by Settling Defendants, including without limitation oral presentations, may be used directly or indirectly by Representative Plaintiffs and Class Counsel solely in connection with the prosecution of the Actions against the non-settling Defendants, but not for the institution or prosecution of any other action or proceeding against any Released Party or for any other purpose whatsoever, including, but not limited to, actions or proceedings in jurisdictions outside the United States. The foregoing restriction shall not apply to any information or document that is or becomes publicly available.

(F) **Data Production.** Subject to the restrictions set forth above, Settling Defendants will provide cooperation to Representative Plaintiffs by producing to Class Counsel the data listed below, to the extent reasonably available. The time period of the data subject to production shall be January 1, 2006 – June 30, 2011, provided however that the time period for The Bank of Yokohama shall begin on April 2, 2008, when the Bank of Yokohama became a member of the Euroyen TIBOR panel.

(G) To the extent not included within the documents and data that have already been produced to Representative Plaintiffs in the Actions, following preliminary approval of the Settlement each Settling Defendant shall promptly commence the production of the following data to Class Counsel (the “Cooperation Materials”) and shall use its best efforts to complete such production by the later of 14 days after preliminary approval or October 15, 2019:

(i) **Borrowing and Lending Transaction Data:** Reasonably available data pertaining to the Settling Defendant’s transactions (or for Shoko Chukin, those transactions conducted from its Tokyo headquarters) in (i) unsecured borrowing and lending transactions in the interbank market, involving Yen-denominated loans (placing), deposits (taking), and certificates of deposit having maturities of 1-year or less, and (ii) Yen-denominated secured repurchase agreements in the interbank market having maturities of 1-year or less.

(ii) **Other Transaction Data:** Reasonably available data pertaining to the Settling Defendant’s transactions in Euroyen-Based Derivatives (or for Shoko Chukin, those transactions conducted from its Tokyo headquarters and its New York office (if any), which constitute the vast majority of such transactions for Shoko Chukin).

(iii) The parties agree to negotiate in good faith the exact scope, nature and timing of the materials and information to be provided under Section 4(G)(i) and (ii), and further agree that any materials and information provided must relate to Yen-LIBOR, Euroyen TIBOR, and Euroyen-Based Derivatives and be relevant to the claims and/or defenses in the Actions.

(H) **Other Information.** Each Settling Defendant will cooperate to provide in written form reasonably available information necessary for Representative Plaintiffs to authenticate or otherwise make usable at trial (i) the aforementioned data produced by the Settling Defendant, or (ii) documents previously produced to the Representative Plaintiffs by the Settling Defendant. Each Settling Defendant also will provide Representative Plaintiffs with a description of the data fields included in any borrowing, lending or trade data produced by the Settling Defendant to the extent reasonably available and requested by Representative Plaintiffs.

(I) **Continuation, Scope, and Termination of Settling Defendants' Obligation.** Settling Defendants' respective obligations to cooperate are continuing until and shall terminate upon the earlier of: (i) the date when final judgment has been rendered with no remaining rights of appeal, in the Actions against all Defendants; or (ii) two (2) years after the Court enters the Preliminary Approval Order.

5. Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses, and Application for Incentive Award

(A) Subject to Court approval, Representative Plaintiffs and Class Counsel shall be reimbursed and paid solely out of the Settlement Fund for all fees and expenses including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, and past, current or future litigation expenses, and any incentive award approved by the Court. Settling Defendants shall have no responsibility for any costs, fees, or expenses incurred for or by Representative Plaintiffs' or Class Members' respective

attorneys, experts, advisors, agents, or representatives. Nothing in this provision shall expedite the date(s) for Settling Defendants' payments as set forth in Section 3.

(B) Class Counsel, on behalf of all Plaintiffs' Counsel, may apply to the Court for an award from the Settlement Fund of attorneys' fees, plus interest. Class Counsel also may apply to the Court for reimbursement from the Settlement Fund of Plaintiffs' Counsel's litigation expenses, plus interest. Settling Defendants shall take no position with respect to Class Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and expenses. Representative Plaintiffs may make an application to the Court for an award in connection with their representation of the Settlement Class in this litigation, which amount constitutes the Incentive Award.

(C) The Released Parties shall have no responsibility for, and no liability with respect to, the attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, or Incentive Award that the Court may award in the Actions.

(D) The procedures for, and the allowance or disallowance by the Court of, any application for approval of fees, expenses and costs or an Incentive Award (collectively, "Fee and Expense Application") are not part of the Settlement set forth in this Agreement, and are to be considered by the Court separately from the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement set forth in this Agreement. Any order or proceeding relating to a Fee and Expense Application, or the reversal or modification thereof, shall not operate to terminate or cancel this Agreement, or affect or delay the finality of the Final Judgment and the Settlement of the Actions as set forth herein. No order of the Court or modification or reversal on appeal of any order of the Court concerning any Fee and Expense Application or the Distribution Plan shall constitute grounds for termination of this Agreement.

(E) Prior to the Fairness Hearing, Class Counsel and Representative Plaintiffs shall file any motions seeking awards from the Settlement Fund for payment of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs and expenses, and for the payment of an Incentive Award as follows:

(i) Plaintiffs' Counsel shall seek attorneys' fees of no more than twenty-three percent (23%) of the Settlement Fund;

(ii) Class Counsel shall seek reimbursement for their costs and expenses incurred as of the date the Motion for Final Approval and Entry of Final Judgment is filed pursuant to Section 16; and

(iii) Representative Plaintiffs may make an application to the Court for an award in connection with their representation of the Settlement Class in this litigation, which amount constitutes the Incentive Award.

(F) Upon the Court's approval of an award of attorneys' fees, costs and expenses, such approved amount from Subsections (E)(i) and (E)(ii), above, shall be paid from the Escrow Account within ten (10) Business Days after their approval by the Court. If an event occurs that will cause the Settlement Agreement not to become final pursuant to Section 18 or if Representative Plaintiffs or a Settling Defendant terminates the Settlement Agreement pursuant to Sections 21 through 24, then within ten (10) Business Days after Class Counsel either gives written notice of termination on behalf of Representative Plaintiffs or receives notice of such an event from counsel for a Settling Defendant or from a court of appropriate jurisdiction, Class Counsel shall refund to the Settlement Fund the allocable share (based on such terminating Settling Defendant(s)' share of the Settlement Amount) of any attorneys' fees, costs and expenses (not including any non-refundable expenses as described in Section 9(B)) that were

withdrawn plus interest thereon at the same rate at which interest is accruing for the Settlement Fund.

6. Application for Approval of Fees, Expenses, and Costs of Settlement Fund Administration

Class Counsel may apply to the Court, at the time of any application for distribution to Authorized Claimants, for an award from the Settlement Fund of attorneys' fees for services performed and reimbursement of expenses incurred in connection with the administration of the Settlement after the date of the Fairness Hearing. Class Counsel reserves the right to make additional applications to the Court for payment from the Settlement Fund for attorneys' fees for services performed and reimbursement of expenses incurred. Any such applications are subject to Court approval.

7. No Liability for Fees and Expenses of Class Counsel

The Released Parties shall have no responsibility for, and no liability whatsoever with respect to, any payment(s) to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, costs and expenses and/or to any other Person who may assert some claim thereto, or any fee and expense award the Court may make in the Actions.

8. Distribution of and/or Disbursements from Settlement Fund

The Settlement Administrator, subject to such supervision and direction by the Court and/or Class Counsel as may be necessary, shall administer the Proof of Claim and Release forms submitted by the Settling Class Members and shall oversee the distribution of the Settlement Fund pursuant to the Distribution Plan. Upon the Effective Date (or earlier if provided in Section 5 herein), the Settlement Fund shall be applied in the order and as follows:

- (i) to pay costs and expenses associated with the distribution of the Class Notice and administration of the Settlement as provided in this

Section and Section 6, including all costs and expenses reasonably and actually incurred in assisting Class Members with the filing and processing of claims against the Net Settlement Fund at any time after Settling Defendants make payments described in Section 3;

(ii) to pay Escrow Agent costs;

(iii) to pay taxes assessed on the Settlement Fund, and tax preparation fees in connection with such taxes;

(iv) to pay any attorneys' fees, costs and expenses approved by the Court upon submission of a Fee and Expense Application, as provided in Section 5;

(v) to pay the amount of any Incentive Award for Representative Plaintiffs, as provided in Section 5; and

(vi) to pay the Net Settlement Fund to Authorized Claimants as allowed by the Agreement, any Distribution Plan, or order of the Court.

9. Disbursements Prior to Effective Date

(A) Except as provided in Subsection (B) herein or by Court order, no distribution to any Class Member or disbursement of fees, costs and expenses of any kind may be made from the Settlement Fund until the Effective Date. As of the Effective Date, all fees, costs and expenses and Incentive Awards as approved by the Court may be paid out of the Settlement Fund.

(B) Upon written notice to the Escrow Agent by Class Counsel with a copy to Settling Defendants, the following may be disbursed prior to the Effective Date: (i) reasonable costs of Class Notice and administration may be paid from the Settlement Fund as they become due (up

to a maximum of \$750,000, unless any Other Settlement is reached, in which case the maximum will be \$500,000); (ii) reasonable costs of the Escrow Agent may be paid from the Settlement Fund as they become due; (iii) taxes and tax expenses may be paid from the Settlement Fund as they become due; and (iv) Plaintiffs' Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs and expenses as approved by the Court (in accordance with Section 5(F)). In the event the Settlement is terminated or does not become final for any reason, Settling Defendants shall be entitled to return of all such funds, plus all interest accrued thereon, except for up to \$750,000 (or \$500,000 if there is any Other Settlement) for reasonable costs of Class Notice and administration that have been actually disbursed prior to the date the Settlement was terminated or otherwise does not become final for any reason, on the terms specified in Section 22 or Section 23.

(C) Class Counsel will attempt in good faith to minimize the costs of the Escrow Agent, Class Notice and administration.

10. Distribution of Balances Remaining in Net Settlement Fund to Authorized Claimants

The Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed to Authorized Claimants and, except as provided in Section 9(B), there shall be no reversion to Settling Defendants. The distribution to Authorized Claimants shall be in accordance with the Distribution Plan that has been or hereafter is to be approved by the Court upon such notice to the Class as may be required. Any such Distribution Plan is not a part of this Agreement. No funds from the Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed to Authorized Claimants until the later of (i) the Effective Date or (ii) the date by which the Distribution Plan has received final approval and the time for any further appeals with respect to the Distribution Plan has expired. Should there be any balance remaining in the Net Settlement Fund (whether by reason of tax refunds, uncashed checks, or otherwise), Class Counsel shall submit an additional distribution plan to the Court for its approval.

11. Administration/Maintenance of Settlement Fund

(A) The Settlement Fund shall be maintained by the Escrow Agent and Class Counsel under supervision of the Court and shall be distributed solely at such times, in such manner, and to such Persons as shall be directed by subsequent orders of the Court (except as provided for in this Agreement consistent with the terms of this Settlement Agreement). The Parties intend that the Settlement Fund be treated as a “qualified settlement fund” within the meaning of Treasury Regulation § 1.468B. Class Counsel shall ensure that the Settlement Fund at all times complies with Treasury Regulation § 1.468B in order to maintain its treatment as a qualified settlement fund. To this end, Class Counsel shall ensure that the Settlement Fund is approved by the Court as a qualified settlement fund and that any Escrow Agent, Settlement Administrator or other administrator of the Settlement Fund complies with all requirements of Treasury Regulation § 1.468B-2. Any failure to ensure that the Settlement Fund complies with Treasury Regulation § 1.468B-2, and the consequences thereof, shall be the sole responsibility of Class Counsel.

12. Release and Covenant Not To Sue

(A) The Releasing Parties finally and forever release and discharge from and covenant not to sue the Released Parties for any and all manner of claims, including unknown claims, causes of action, cross-claims, counter-claims, charges, liabilities, demands, judgments, suits, obligations, debts, setoffs, rights of recovery, or liabilities for any obligations of any kind whatsoever (however denominated), whether class, derivative or individual, in law or equity or arising under constitution, statute, regulation, ordinance, contract, common law or otherwise in nature, for fees, costs, penalties, fines, debts, expenses, attorneys’ fees, interest and damages, whenever incurred, for restitution or any other payment of money, and for liabilities of any nature whatsoever (including joint and several), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected,

asserted or unasserted, which Settling Class Members or any of them ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall or may have, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity, against the Released Parties arising from or relating in any way to conduct alleged in the Actions, or which could have been alleged in the Actions against the Released Parties, including but not limited to conduct concerning any Euroyen-Based Derivatives or any similar financial instruments priced, benchmarked, settled to or otherwise affected by Yen-LIBOR or Euroyen TIBOR purchased, sold, held, traded, and/or transacted by the Representative Plaintiffs, Class Members, and/or Settling Class Members (to the extent such similar financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.), or in which any of the foregoing otherwise had any interest, or including, but not limited to, any alleged manipulation of Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen-LIBOR under the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., or any other statute, regulation, or common law, or any purported conspiracy, collusion, racketeering activity, or other improper conduct relating to Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen-LIBOR (including, but not limited to, all claims under Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, and any other federal or state statute, regulation, or common law). The following claims shall not be released by this Settlement: (i) any claims against former employees of Settling Defendants arising solely from those former employees' conduct that occurred while not employed by any of the Settling Defendants; (ii) any claims against the named Defendants in these Actions other than Settling Defendants; (iii) any claims against inter-dealer brokers or their employees or agents when and solely to the extent they were engaged as employees or agents of the other Defendants or of inter-dealer brokers; or (iv) any claims against any Defendant who may be subsequently added in these Actions, other than any

Released Party. For the avoidance of doubt, Released Claims does not include claims arising under foreign law based solely on transactions executed entirely outside the United States by Settling Class Members domiciled outside the United States.

(B) Although the foregoing release is not a general release, such release constitutes a waiver of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code (to the extent it applies to the Actions), which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

This release also constitutes a waiver of any and all provisions, rights, and benefits of any federal, state or foreign law, rule, regulation, or principle of law or equity that is similar, comparable, equivalent to, or which has the effect of, Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. The Settling Class Members acknowledge that they are aware that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to, or different from, those facts which they know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement, but that it is their intention to release fully, finally, and forever all of the Released Claims, and in furtherance of such intention, the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts. In entering and making this Agreement, the Parties assume the risk of any mistake of fact or law and the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding any mistake of fact or law.

13. Motion for Preliminary Approval

As soon as practicable after the Execution Date, at a time to be mutually agreed by Settling Defendants and Class Counsel, Class Counsel, on behalf of the Representative Plaintiffs,

shall submit this Settlement Agreement to the Court and shall file a motion for entry of the Preliminary Approval Order in the Actions. If such motion is filed at a time when the Court's decision dismissing the *Sonterra* action remains on appeal to the Second Circuit or has been affirmed as to the Settling Defendants, such motion shall be filed only in the *Laydon* action. In that event, if the dismissal of the *Sonterra* action is later reversed or vacated as to any Settling Defendant before the entry of the Final Approval Order and the Final Judgment in the *Laydon* action, Class Counsel shall ask the Court to proceed with consideration of final approval of the Settlement in both Actions.

14. Class Notice

(A) In the event that the Court preliminarily approves the Settlement, Class Counsel shall, in accordance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, provide Class Members whose identities can be determined after reasonable efforts with notice of the date of the Fairness Hearing. The Class Notice may be sent solely for this Settlement or combined with notice of Other Settlements. The Class Notice shall also explain the general terms of the Settlement Agreement, the general terms of the proposed Distribution Plan, the general terms of the Fee and Expense Application, and a description of Class Members' rights to object to the Settlement, request exclusion from the Class and appear at the Fairness Hearing. The text of the Class Notice shall be agreed upon by the Parties before its submission to the Court for approval thereof. Each Settling Defendant agrees to provide Class Counsel with reasonably available contact information for potential Class Member counterparties to Euroyen-Based Derivatives that the Settling Defendant transacted with during the Class Period, to the extent not prevented from doing so by any court order or any law, regulation, policy, or other rule of any regulatory agency or governmental body restricting disclosure of such information. Representative

Plaintiffs agree that any Settling Defendant may, at its sole discretion, (i) have its third-party agent provide the Class Notice to any counterparties to Euroyen-Based Derivatives that the Settling Defendant transacted with during the Class Period or (ii) provide counterparty information only to the Settlement Administrator for purposes of distributing the Class Notice, to the extent that the Settling Defendant reasonably concludes in good faith that such steps are required or advisable based on such counterparty information being subject to any applicable domestic or foreign data privacy, bank secrecy, or other law, rule, or regulation. If any Settling Defendant does provide Class Notice pursuant to this Section, the Settling Defendant shall complete such notice no later than the date set by the Court to complete mailed notice pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order and provide Class Counsel with the number of Class Notices sent by the Settling Defendant pursuant to this Section. All reasonable fees, costs, and expenses of Settling Defendants' third-party agent(s) in providing the Class Notice to any counterparties to Euroyen-Based Derivatives that the Settling Defendant transacted with during the Class Period will be paid from the Settlement Fund. Such reasonable fees, costs, and expenses of Settling Defendants' third party agent(s) shall not exceed \$300,000.00.

(B) In the event that the Court preliminarily approves the Settlement, Settling Defendants shall bear the costs and responsibility for timely serving notice of the Settlement as required by the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. § 1715. Settling Defendants shall also cause a copy of such CAFA notice and proof of service of such notice to be provided to Class Counsel.

15. Publication

Class Counsel shall cause to be published a summary in accord with the Class Notice submitted to the Court by the Parties and approved by the Court. Settling Defendants shall have

no responsibility for providing publication or distribution of the summary or any notice of the Settlement to Class Members or for paying for the cost of providing notice of the Settlement to Class Members except as provided for in Section 9(B). The Parties shall mutually agree on any content relating to Settling Defendants that will be used by Class Counsel and/or the Settlement Administrator in any Settlement-related press release or other media publication, including on websites.

16. Motion for Final Approval and Entry of Final Judgment

(A) After Class Notice is issued, and prior to the Fairness Hearing, Class Counsel, on behalf of the Representative Plaintiff(s), shall move for entry of the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment in each of the Actions pending in the Court in which there are Released Claims against any Settling Defendant (including, if necessary, a separate motion in the *Sonterra* action if the dismissal of that action is reversed or vacated as to any Settling Defendant (or is remanded to the Court on that basis) after the filing of a motion for entry of the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment in the *Laydon* action but before the Court enters the Final Approval Order and the Final Judgment in the *Laydon* action):

(i) finally certifying solely for settlement purposes the Settlement Class as defined in Section 1(F) herein;

(ii) finding that the Class Notice constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances and complied in all respects with the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process;

(iii) finally approving this Settlement Agreement and its terms as being a fair, reasonable and adequate settlement of the Settlement Class'

claims against Settling Defendants under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

(iv) directing that, as to the Released Parties, the Action(s) be dismissed with prejudice and without costs as against the Settling Class Members;

(v) discharging and releasing the Released Claims as to the Released Parties;

(vi) barring claims by any Person against the Released Parties for contribution, indemnification, or similar claims (however denominated) for all or a portion of any amounts paid or awarded in the Actions by way of settlement, judgment, or otherwise;

(vii) determining pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b) that there is no just reason for delay and directing that the Final Judgment shall be final and appealable;

(viii) reserving the Court's continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over the Settlement and this Agreement, including the administration and consummation of this Agreement; and

(ix) containing such other and further provisions consistent with the terms of this Agreement to which Settling Defendants and Representative Plaintiffs expressly consent in writing.

(B) Prior to the Fairness Hearing, as provided in Section 5, Class Counsel will timely request by separate motion that the Court approve its Fee and Expense Application. The Fee and Expense Application and the Distribution Plan (as defined in Section 1(M)) are matters separate

and apart from the Settlement between the Parties. If the Fee and Expense Application or the Distribution Plan is not approved, in whole or in part, it will have no effect on the finality of the Final Approval Order(s) approving the Settlement and the Final Judgment(s) dismissing each of the Actions with prejudice as to Settling Defendants.

(C) If the dismissal of the *Sonterra* action is reversed or vacated as to any Settling Defendant after the entry of the Final Approval Order and the Final Judgment in the *Laydon* action, Class Counsel shall promptly move for dismissal with prejudice of all claims asserted against the Settling Defendant and entry of a Final Judgment as to the Settling Defendant in the *Sonterra* action based on the release of those claims in the Final Approval Order and the Final Judgment in the *Laydon* action.

17. Best Efforts to Effectuate This Settlement

The Parties agree to cooperate with one another to the extent reasonably necessary to effectuate and implement the terms and conditions of this Agreement and to exercise their reasonable best efforts to accomplish the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

18. Effective Date

Unless terminated earlier as provided in this Settlement Agreement, this Settlement Agreement shall become effective and final as of the date upon which all of the following conditions have been satisfied:

(A) The Settlement Agreement has been fully executed by all Settling Defendants and Representative Plaintiffs through their counsel;

(B) The Court has certified a Settlement Class, and entered the Preliminary Approval Order in each of the Actions in which a motion for entry of such order has been filed, substantially in the form agreed to by the Parties and attached hereto as Exhibit A, approving this

Settlement Agreement, and approving the program and form for the Class Notice;

(C) Class Notice has been issued as ordered by the Court;

(D) The Court has entered the Final Approval Order in each of the Actions in which a motion for entry of such order was filed, substantially in the form agreed to by the Parties and attached hereto as Exhibit B-1 (and Exhibit B-2, if a motion for entry of the Final Approval Order was filed in the *Sonterra* action), finally approving the Settlement Agreement in all respects as required by Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; however, this required approval does not include the approval of the Fee and Expense Application and the Distribution Plan;

(E) The Court has entered its Final Judgment as to the Released Parties with respect to Representative Plaintiffs and Settling Class Members in each of the Actions in which a motion for entry of the Final Approval Order was filed, substantially in the form agreed to by the Parties and attached hereto as Exhibit C-1 (and Exhibit C-2, if a motion for entry of the Final Approval Order was filed in the *Sonterra* action); and

(F) The occurrence of the later of the following: (i) the resolution of any and all appeals regarding the Settlement (subject to Section 21 below) or (ii) the expiration of the time to appeal or seek permission to appeal the Settlement.

19. Occurrence of Effective Date

Upon the occurrence of all of the events specified in Section 18, any and all remaining interest or right of Settling Defendants in or to the Settlement Fund, if any, shall be absolutely and forever extinguished, and the Net Settlement Fund shall be transferred from the Escrow Agent to the Settlement Administrator at the written direction of Class Counsel.

20. Failure of Effective Date to Occur

If any of the conditions specified in Section 18 is not satisfied, then this Agreement shall be terminated, subject to and in accordance with Section 21, unless the Parties mutually agree in writing to continue with this Agreement for a specified period of time.

21. Termination

(A) Each Settling Defendant shall have the right, but not the obligation, in its sole discretion, to terminate this Settlement Agreement as to it by providing written notice to Class Counsel within twenty-five (25) Business Days of the Settling Defendant's learning of any of the following events:

(i) the Court declines to enter or modifies the Preliminary Approval Order(s) sought pursuant to Section 13 or the Final Approval Order(s) sought pursuant to Section 16 in any material respect;

(ii) the Court declines to approve the Settlement Agreement or any material part of it;

(iii) the Court declines to enter the Final Judgment(s) in any material respect;

(iv) the Court enters an alternative judgment;

(v) the Final Approval Order(s) or the Final Judgment(s) is modified or reversed or vacated by any appellate court in any material respect; or

(vi) an alternative judgment is modified or reversed or vacated by a court of appeal or any higher court in any material respect.

(B) Class Counsel, acting on behalf of the Representative Plaintiffs, shall have the

right, but not the obligation, in their sole discretion, to terminate this Settlement Agreement by providing written notice to Settling Defendants' counsel within twenty-five (25) Business Days of any of the following events, provided that the occurrence of the event substantially deprives Plaintiffs of the benefit of the Settlement:

(i) the Court declines to enter or modifies the Preliminary Approval Order(s) sought pursuant to Section 13 or the Final Approval Order(s) sought pursuant to Section 16 in any material respect;

(ii) the Court declines to approve the Settlement Agreement or any material part of it;

(iii) the Court declines to enter the Final Judgment(s) in any material respect;

(iv) the Court enters an alternative judgment;

(v) the Final Approval Order(s) or the Final Judgment(s) is modified or reversed or vacated by any appellate court in any material respect; or

(vi) an alternative judgment is modified or reversed or vacated by a court of appeal or any higher court in any material respect.

(C) The circumstances giving rise to the right of each Settling Defendant or Class Counsel, acting on behalf of the Representative Plaintiffs, to terminate this Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 21(A) or 21(B) shall include, without limitation, any modification by the Court (or any appellate court) of the definition of Class, Class Member, Settlement Class, Settling Class Members, Released Claims, Released Parties or Releasing Parties, or other modification of the Settlement Agreement, the Preliminary Approval Order(s),

the Final Approval Order(s), or the Final Judgment(s) such that this Settlement does not result in the release with respect to the Released Parties of all the Released Claims asserted in both the *Laydon* and *Sonterra* actions.

(D) If a right to terminate this Settlement Agreement arises under Sections 21(A)-(C) above for a reason that could be cured depending on the outcome of the pending appeal in the *Sonterra* action, then, provided that the Court continues the stay of all proceedings and deadlines in the *Laydon* action as between Representative Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants until a decision is entered in the pending appeal in the *Sonterra* action, no Party shall be permitted to exercise its right of termination until after a decision is entered in that appeal, and the deadline for exercising the right to terminate shall be extended until twenty-five (25) Business Days after that decision is entered, during which period the Parties shall discuss in good faith whether the reason for the right of termination can be cured.

(E) In addition, in the event that any Settling Defendant, for any reason, fails to comply with Section 3 and fails to cure such non-compliance as hereafter provided, then on ten (10) Business Days' written notice to such Settling Defendant's counsel, during which ten-Business Day period such Settling Defendant shall have the opportunity to cure the default without penalty, Representative Plaintiffs, by and through Class Counsel, may terminate this Settlement Agreement solely with respect to such Settling Defendant but not with respect to the other Settling Defendants or may elect to enforce the Settlement Agreement with respect to such Settling Defendant as provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable laws.

22. Effect of Termination as to All Parties

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, in the event that the Effective Date does not occur or this Agreement should terminate or be cancelled as to all Parties, or otherwise fail to become

effective as to all Parties for any reason, including, without limitation, in the event that the Settlement as described herein is not finally approved by the Court or the Final Judgment is reversed or vacated following any appeal, then:

(A) Within ten (10) Business Days after written notification of such event is sent by counsel for all Settling Defendants or Class Counsel to all Parties and the Escrow Agent, the Settlement Amount, all interest earned in the Settlement Fund and any amount required to be refunded by Class Counsel pursuant to Section 5(F) will be refunded, reimbursed, and repaid by the Escrow Agent to Settling Defendants, except as provided in Section 9(B), in proportion to their respective payment obligations under Section 3.

(B) The Escrow Agent or its designee shall apply for any tax refund owed to the Settlement Fund and pay the proceeds to Settling Defendants, after deduction of any fees or expenses reasonably incurred in connection with such application(s) for refund;

(C) The Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Actions as of the Execution Date, with all of their respective legal claims and defenses preserved as they existed on that date; and

(D) Upon termination of this Settlement Agreement with respect to all Parties, then:

(i) this Agreement shall be null and void and of no further effect, and none of Settling Defendants, the Representative Plaintiffs, or members of the Settlement Class shall be bound by any of its terms;

(ii) any and all releases hereunder shall be of no further force and effect;

(iii) the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Actions as of the Execution Date, with all of their respective legal claims and defenses preserved as they existed on that date; and

(iv) any judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement shall be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc*.

23. Effect of Termination as to Fewer Than All Settling Defendants

Upon termination of this Settlement Agreement with respect to one or more, but fewer than all, Settling Defendants pursuant to Section 21(A), 21(E) or 24, then:

(A) Within ten (10) Business Days after written notification of such event is sent by counsel for such Settling Defendant(s) to all Parties and the Escrow Agent, each such Settling Defendant's share of the Settlement Amount pursuant to Section 3 above (including the share of any amount required to be refunded by Class Counsel pursuant to Section 5(F)), and all interest earned on that share in the Settlement Fund, will be refunded, reimbursed, and repaid by the Escrow Agent to such Settling Defendant, except as provided in Section 9(B).

(B) Such Settling Defendant(s) and the Representative Plaintiffs shall be restored to their respective positions in the Actions as of the Execution Date, with all of their respective legal claims and defenses preserved as they existed on that date with respect to each other; and

(i) this Agreement shall be null and void and of no further effect as to such Settling Defendant(s), and none of such Settling Defendant(s), the Representative Plaintiffs, or members of the Settlement Class shall be bound by any of its terms as to such Settling Defendant(s);

(ii) any and all releases of such Settling Defendant(s) and its related Released Parties hereunder shall be of no further force and effect;

(iii) such Settling Defendant(s) and Representative Plaintiffs shall be restored to their respective positions in the Actions as of the Execution Date, with all of their respective legal claims and defenses preserved as they existed on that date with respect to each other;

(iv) any judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement shall be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc* with respect to such Settling Defendant; and

(v) this Agreement, all releases and any judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement shall remain in full force and effect with respect to all Settling Defendants as to which the Settlement Agreement was not terminated, and with respect to Representative Plaintiffs as to those Settling Defendants.

24. Supplemental Agreement

In addition to the provisions contained in Section 21(A) herein, each Settling Defendant shall have the right, but not the obligation, in its sole discretion, to terminate this Settlement Agreement pursuant to the terms and conditions of an Amended Supplemental Agreement. The Amended Supplemental Agreement shall not be submitted to the Court except in the event of a dispute thereunder or upon the Court's request, in which case the Parties shall seek to file it only under seal.

25. Confidentiality Protection

Representative Plaintiffs, Class Counsel, counsel for the Settling Defendants, Settling Defendants, and Released Parties agree to keep private and confidential the terms of this Settlement Agreement, except for disclosure at the Court's direction or disclosure *in camera* to the Court, until this document is filed with the Court, provided, however, that nothing in this Section shall prevent any Settling Defendant, upon notice to Class Counsel, from making any disclosures it deems necessary to comply with any relevant laws, subpoena or other form of judicial process. Nothing in this provision shall preclude Settling Defendants from disclosing, without notice to Class Counsel, the fact, amount, or terms of the Settlement as a result of a good faith determination that such disclosure is required or advisable pursuant to bank regulatory requirements, SEC requirements, or other legal or regulatory requirements, or from disclosing the fact, amount, or terms of the Settlement to its regulators, insurers, or external auditors.

26. Binding Effect

(A) This Settlement Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors and assigns of Settling Defendants, the Released Parties, the Representative Plaintiffs, and Settling Class Members.

(B) The waiver by any Party of any breach of this Settlement Agreement by another Party shall not be deemed a waiver of such breach by any other Party or a waiver by any Party of any other prior or subsequent breach of this Settlement Agreement.

27. Integrated Agreement

This Settlement Agreement, including any exhibits hereto and agreements referenced herein, contains the entire, complete, and integrated statement of each and every term and provision agreed to by and among the Parties and is not subject to any condition not provided for

or referenced herein. This Settlement Agreement supersedes all prior or contemporaneous discussions, agreements, and understandings among the Parties to this Settlement Agreement with respect hereto. This Settlement Agreement may not be modified in any respect except by a writing that is executed by all the Parties hereto.

28. Headings

The headings used in this Settlement Agreement are for the convenience of the reader only and shall not have any substantive effect on the meaning and/or interpretation of this Settlement Agreement.

29. No Party is the Drafter

None of the Parties shall be considered to be the drafter of this Settlement Agreement or any provision herein for the purpose of any statute, case law, or rule of interpretation or construction that might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter.

30. Choice of Law

All provisions of this Settlement Agreement and its exhibits hereto shall be governed by and interpreted according to the substantive laws of the State of New York, without regard to its choice of law or conflict of laws principles.

31. Execution in Counterparts

This Settlement Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts. Facsimile and scanned/PDF signatures shall be considered valid signatures. All executed counterparts shall be deemed to be one and the same instrument. There shall be no agreement until the fully signed counterparts have been exchanged and delivered to each of the Parties.

32. Submission to and Retention of Jurisdiction

The Parties, Released Parties, and the Settlement Class irrevocably submit, to the fullest extent permitted by law, to the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York solely for any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of or relating to this Settlement Agreement, or the exhibits hereto. For the purpose of such suit, action, or proceeding, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the Parties, Released Parties and the Settlement Class irrevocably waive and agree not to assert, by way of motion, as a defense, or otherwise, any claim or objection that they are not subject to the jurisdiction of such Court, or that such Court is, in any way, an improper venue or an inconvenient forum or that the Court lacked power to approve this Settlement Agreement or enter any of the orders contemplated hereby.

33. Contribution and Indemnification

This Settlement Agreement is expressly intended to absolve the Released Parties against any claims for set-off, apportionment, contribution, indemnification, or similar claims from other Defendants arising out of or related to the Released Claims, in the manner and to the fullest extent permitted under the law of New York or any other jurisdiction that might be construed or deemed to apply for claims of contribution, indemnification, or similar claims against any Released Party. Notwithstanding the foregoing, should any court determine that any Defendant is or was legally entitled to any kind of set-off, apportionment, contribution or indemnification from a Settling Defendant arising out of or related to the Released Claims, the Releasing Parties agree that any money judgment subsequently obtained by the Releasing Parties against such Defendant shall be reduced to an amount such that, upon paying the entire amount, the

Defendant would have no claim for set-off, apportionment, contribution, indemnification, or similar claims against Settling Defendants.

34. Reservation of Rights

This Settlement Agreement does not settle or compromise any claims by Representative Plaintiffs or any Class Member asserted against any Defendant or any potential defendant other than Settling Defendants and the Released Parties. The rights of any Class Member against any other Person other than Settling Defendants and the Released Parties are specifically reserved by Representative Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

35. Notices

All notices and other communications under this Settlement Agreement shall be sent to the Parties to this Settlement Agreement at their address set forth on the signature page herein, *viz*, if to Representative Plaintiffs, then to: Vincent Briganti and Geoffrey M. Horn, Lowey Dannenberg, P.C., 44 South Broadway, Suite 1100, White Plains, New York 10601 and if to Settling Defendants, then to Gary W. Kubek, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP, 919 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10022, Andrew Smith, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP, 31 West 52nd Street, New York, New York 10019, Robert C. Mason, Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP, 250 West 55th Street, New York, New York 10019, Dale C. Christensen, Jr., Seward & Kissel LLP, One Battery Park Plaza, New York, New York 10004, and C. Fairley Spillman, Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, 2001 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006, or such other address as each party may designate for itself, in writing, in accordance with this Settlement Agreement.

36. Authority

In executing this Settlement Agreement, Class Counsel represent and warrant that they have been fully authorized to execute this Settlement Agreement on behalf of the Representative

Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class (subject to final approval by the Court after notice to all Class Members), and that all actions necessary for the execution of this Settlement Agreement have been taken. Each Settling Defendant represents and warrants that its undersigned counsel is fully empowered to execute the Settlement Agreement on behalf of such Settling Defendant and that all actions necessary for the execution of this Settlement Agreement have been taken.

37. Disputes or Controversies

Any dispute or controversy arising out of or relating to the cooperation set forth in Section 4 herein, including any claims under any statute, law, or regulation, shall be resolved first by discussion among counsel for the Parties, and failing that by confidential mediation, or, if mediation fails to resolve the dispute, by arbitration, in each case administered by a neutral agreed upon by all Parties to the dispute (“Participating Parties”) at JAMS, Inc., formerly known as Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services (“JAMS”), in accordance with its procedures and Comprehensive Arbitration Rules & Procedures then in effect (“Rules”) and in accordance with the Expedited Procedures in those Rules (or such other alternative dispute resolution organization as all parties shall agree), except as modified herein. The arbitration shall be conducted on a strictly confidential basis, and the Parties shall not disclose the existence or nature of any claim; any documents, correspondence, briefing, exhibits, or information exchanged or presented in connection with any claim; or any rulings, decisions, or results of any claim or argument (collectively, “Arbitration Materials”) to any third party, with the sole exception of the Parties’ respective legal counsel (who shall also be bound by these confidentiality terms) or under seal in any judicial proceeding commenced in connection with this Section 37 or to the extent that such disclosure is required or advisable pursuant to bank regulatory requirements, SEC requirements, or other legal or regulatory requirements. The

arbitral decision shall be final and binding upon the Participating Parties. Any arbitral award may be entered as a judgment or order in any court of competent jurisdiction. Except as the Rules may provide, the Participating Parties shall share JAMS's administrative fees and the mediator's or arbitrator's fees and expenses, with Representative Plaintiffs responsible for 50% and all Settling Defendants that are Participating Parties collectively responsible for 50%. Each Party shall be responsible for such Party's attorneys' fees and costs, except as otherwise provided by any applicable statute or other law. Either Party may commence litigation in any state or federal court of competent jurisdiction located in New York County, New York to obtain injunctive relief in aid of arbitration, to compel arbitration, or to confirm or vacate an arbitrator's award. The Parties agree to take all steps necessary to protect the confidentiality of the Arbitration Materials in connection with any such proceeding, agree to use their best efforts to file all confidential information (and documents containing confidential information) under seal, and agree to the entry of an appropriate protective order encompassing the confidentiality terms of any settlement agreement. The seat of arbitration shall be New York, New York.


38. Stay

The Parties stipulate and agree that all proceedings and deadlines in the Actions (including with respect to discovery) between Representative Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants shall be stayed pending the Court's entry of the Preliminary Approval Order. The stay will automatically be dissolved if (a) the Court declines to enter or modifies the Preliminary Approval Order, the Final Approval Order(s), or the Final Judgment(s) in any material respect, or (b) the Court enters the Final Approval Order(s) and the Final Judgment(s), appellate review is sought and, on such review, a Final Approval Order or a Final Judgment is finally vacated, modified, or reversed, unless the Parties, in their sole discretion within twenty-five (25) Business Days after

learning of such ruling, provide written notice to all other Parties hereto of their intent to proceed with the Settlement under the terms of the Preliminary Approval Order, the Final Approval Order(s), or the Final Judgment(s), as modified by the Court or on appeal.

[remainder of page intentionally left blank]

Dated: September 5, 2019

By: 

Vincent Briganti
Geoffrey M. Horn
LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C.
44 South Broadway
White Plains, New York 10601
Telephone: (914) 997-0500
vbriganti@lowey.com
ghorn@lowey.com

Class Counsel for Representative Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Dated: September 5, 2019

By: _____
Gary W. Kubek
Erica S. Weisgerber
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 909-6000
gwkubek@debevoise.com
eweisgerber@debevoise.com

Counsel for Defendant The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.

By: _____
Andrew C. Smith
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
31 West 52nd Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 858-1000
andrew.smith@pillsburylaw.com

Counsel for Defendant Shinkin Central Bank

By: _____
Robert C. Mason
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
250 West 55th Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 836-8077
robert.mason@arnoldporter.com


Counsel for Defendant The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd.

Dated: September 5, 2019

By: _____
Vincent Briganti
Geoffrey M. Horn
LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C.
44 South Broadway
White Plains, New York 10601
Telephone: (914) 997-0500
vbriganti@lowey.com
ghorn@lowey.com

Class Counsel for Representative Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Dated: September 5, 2019

By:  _____
Gary W. Kubek
Erica S. Weisgerber
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 909-6000
gwkubek@debevoise.com
eweisgerber@debevoise.com

Counsel for Defendant The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.

By: _____
Andrew C. Smith
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
31 West 52nd Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 858-1000
andrew.smith@pillsburylaw.com

Counsel for Defendant Shinkin Central Bank

By: _____
Robert C. Mason
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
250 West 55th Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 836-8077
robert.mason@arnoldporter.com

Counsel for Defendant The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd.

Dated: September 5, 2019

By: _____
Vincent Briganti
Geoffrey M. Horn
LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C.
44 South Broadway
White Plains, New York 10601
Telephone: (914) 997-0500
vbriganti@lowey.com
ghorn@lowey.com

Class Counsel for Representative Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Dated: September 5, 2019

By: _____
Gary W. Kubek
Erica S. Weisgerber
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 909-6000
gwkubek@debevoise.com
eweisgerber@debevoise.com

Counsel for Defendant The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.

By:  _____
Andrew C. Smith
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
31 West 52nd Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 858-1000
andrew.smith@pillsburylaw.com

Counsel for Defendant Shinkin Central Bank

By: _____
Robert C. Mason
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
250 West 55th Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 836-8077
robert.mason@arnoldporter.com

Counsel for Defendant The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd.

Dated: September 5, 2019

By: _____
Vincent Briganti
Geoffrey M. Horn
LOWEY DANNENBERG, P.C.
44 South Broadway
White Plains, New York 10601
Telephone: (914) 997-0500
vbriganti@lowey.com
ghorn@lowey.com

Class Counsel for Representative Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

Dated: September 5, 2019

By: _____
Gary W. Kubek
Erica S. Weisgerber
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 909-6000
gwkubek@debevoise.com
eweisgerber@debevoise.com

Counsel for Defendant The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd.

By: _____
Andrew C. Smith
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
31 West 52nd Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 858-1000
andrew.smith@pillsburylaw.com

Counsel for Defendant Shinkin Central Bank

By: Robert C. Mason
Robert C. Mason
ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
250 West 55th Street
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 836-8077
robert.mason@arnoldporter.com

Counsel for Defendant The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd.

By: Dale C. Christensen, Jr.

Dale C. Christensen, Jr.
Noah S. Czarny
SEWARD & KISSEL LLP
One Battery Park Plaza
New York, New York 10004
Telephone: (212) 574-1200
Christensen@sewkis.com
czarny@sewkis.com

*Counsel for Defendant Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank,
Limited*


By: _____

C. Fairley Spillman
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
2001 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Telephone: (202) 887-4409
fspillman@akingump.com

Counsel for Defendant Resona Bank, Ltd.

By: _____
Dale C. Christensen, Jr.
Noah S. Czarny
SEWARD & KISSEL LLP
One Battery Park Plaza
New York, New York 10004
Telephone: (212) 574-1200
Christensen@sewkis.com
czarny@sewkis.com

*Counsel for Defendant Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank,
Limited*

By:  _____
C. Fairley Spillman
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
2001 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Telephone: (202) 887-4409
fspillman@akingump.com

Counsel for Defendant Resona Bank, Ltd.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

JEFFREY LAYDON, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

THE BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD., THE SUMITOMO TRUST AND BANKING CO., LTD., THE NORINCHUKIN BANK, MITSUBISHI UFJ TRUST AND BANKING CORPORATION, SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING CORPORATION, J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO., J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC, MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK, LTD., DEUTSCHE BANK AG, THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, UBS AG, UBS SECURITIES JAPAN CO. LTD., THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE SA, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS SECURITIES JAPAN LIMITED, BARCLAYS BANK PLC, CITIBANK, NA, CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, JAPAN LTD., CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS JAPAN, INC., COÖPERATIEVE CENTRALE RAIFFEISEN-BOERENLEENBANK B.A., HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK PLC, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, ICAP EUROPE LIMITED, R.P. MARTIN HOLDINGS LIMITED, MARTIN BROKERS (UK) LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, AND JOHN DOE NOS. 1-50,

Defendants.

Docket No. 12-cv-3419
(GBD) (HBP)

**EXHIBIT A TO
AMENDED
STIPULATION AND
AGREEMENT OF
SETTLEMENT**

[PROPOSED]

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD., SUMITOMO MITSUI TRUST BANK, LIMITED, AND RESONA BANK, LTD., SCHEDULING HEARING FOR FINAL APPROVAL THEREOF, AND APPROVING THE PROPOSED FORM AND PROGRAM OF NOTICE TO THE CLASS

Representative Plaintiff Jeffrey Laydon having applied for an order preliminarily approving the proposed settlement (“Settlement”) of this Action against the Settling Defendants in accordance with the Amended Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement entered into on September 5, 2019 (the “Settlement Agreement”) between Representative Plaintiffs and Defendants The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd. (“The Bank of Yokohama”), Shinkin Central Bank (“Shinkin”), The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd. (“Shoko Chukin”), Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited and its predecessors (“Sumitomo”),¹ and Resona Bank, Ltd. (“Resona”) (collectively, the “Settling Defendants” and, individually, a “Settling Defendant”); the Court having read and considered the Settlement Agreement and accompanying documents; and the Representative Plaintiff and the Settling Defendants (collectively, the “Parties”) having consented to the entry of this Order,

NOW, THEREFORE, this __ Day of _____, 2019, upon application of the Parties,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Except for the terms expressly defined herein, the Court adopts and incorporates the definitions in the Settlement Agreement for the purposes of this Order.
2. The Court finds that it has subject matter jurisdiction to preliminarily approve the Settlement Agreement, including all exhibits thereto, and the Settlement contained therein under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and that it has personal jurisdiction over the Representative Plaintiff, the Settling Defendants (in this Action only and for purposes of this Settlement), and all members of the Settlement Class.
3. Solely for purposes of the Settlement, the Settlement Class is hereby preliminarily certified and maintained as a class action, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Court finds that the applicable provisions of Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the

¹ Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited was formerly known, and was sued as The Sumitomo Trust and Banking Co., Ltd. (“STB”). The Chuo Mitsui Trust and Banking Company, Limited, which was also sued in the *Laydon* action, merged into STB prior to the action to form Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited.

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied and that the Court will likely be able to certify the Settlement Class for purposes of judgment. The Settlement Class is defined as:

All Persons who purchased, sold, held, traded, or otherwise had any interest in Euroyen-Based Derivatives² during the period from January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2011 (the “Class Period”). Excluded from the Settlement Class are the Defendants (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) and any parent, subsidiary, affiliate or agent of any Defendant or any co-conspirator whether or not named as a Defendant, and the United States Government.

4. Notwithstanding the sentence above that “[e]xcluded from the Settlement Class are the Defendants . . . and any parent, subsidiary, affiliate, or agent of any Defendant or any co-conspirator whether or not named as a Defendant, and the United States Government,” and solely for purposes of the Settlement and the Settlement Class, Investment Vehicles³ shall not be excluded from the Settlement Class solely on the basis of being deemed to be Defendants or affiliates or subsidiaries of Defendants. However, to the extent that any Defendant or any entity that might be deemed to be an affiliate or subsidiary thereof (i) managed or advised any Investment Vehicle, and (ii) any Settling Defendant either directly or indirectly held a beneficial interest in that Investment Vehicle during the Class Period, that beneficial interest held by any Settling Defendant in the Investment Vehicle is excluded from the Settlement Class.

² “Euroyen-Based Derivatives” means (i) a Euroyen TIBOR futures contract on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (“CME”); (ii) a Euroyen TIBOR futures contract on the Tokyo Financial Exchange, Inc. (“TFX”), Singapore Exchange (“SGX”), or London International Financial Futures and Options Exchange (“LIFFE”) entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.; (iii) a Japanese Yen currency futures contract on the CME; (iv) a Yen LIBOR- and/or Euroyen TIBOR-based interest rate swap entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.; (v) an option on a Yen LIBOR- and/or Euroyen TIBOR-based interest rate swap (“swaption”) entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.; (vi) a Japanese Yen currency forward agreement entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.; and/or (vii) a Yen LIBOR- and/or Euroyen TIBOR-based forward rate agreement entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.

³ “Investment Vehicles” means any investment company, separately managed account or pooled investment fund managed or advised by Settling Defendants or an affiliate, including, but not limited to: (i) mutual fund families, exchange-traded funds, funds of funds and hedge funds; and (ii) employee benefit plans as to which the Settling Defendants or their affiliate acts as an investment advisor or otherwise may be a fiduciary.

5. The Court hereby appoints Lowey Dannenberg, P.C. as Class Counsel to such Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement, having determined that the requirements of Rule 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are fully satisfied by this appointment.

6. The Court appoints A.B. Data, Ltd. as Settlement Administrator for purposes of the Settlement.

7. The Representative Plaintiff Jeffrey Laydon is hereby appointed as representative of the Settlement Class.

8. A hearing will be held on a date of the Court's convenience on or after _____, 2019 at _____ [a.m./p.m.] in Courtroom 11A of this Courthouse before the undersigned, to consider the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement (the "Fairness Hearing"). The foregoing date, time, and place of the Fairness Hearing shall be set forth in the Class Notice, which is ordered herein, but shall be subject to adjournment or change by the Court without further notice to the members of the Settlement Class, other than that which may be posted at the Court or on the Settlements website at www.EuroyenSettlement.com.

9. The Court reserves the right to approve the Settlement at or after the Fairness Hearing with such modifications as may be consented to by the Parties and without further notice to the Settlement Class.

10. The terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Supplemental Agreement are hereby preliminarily approved. The Court finds that the Settlement was entered into at arm's-length by experienced counsel and is sufficiently within the range of reasonableness, fairness, and adequacy, and that notice of the Settlement should be given as provided in this Order because the Court will likely be able to approve the Settlement under Rule 23(e)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The terms of the Plan of Allocation, the Supplemental Agreement, and the Proof of Claim and Release also are preliminarily approved as within the range of reasonableness, fairness, and adequacy.

11. All proceedings in this Action as to the Settling Defendants, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to implement the proposed Settlement or to effectuate the terms of the Settlement Agreement, are hereby stayed and suspended until further order of this Court.

12. All members of the Settlement Class and their legally authorized representatives, unless and until they have submitted a valid request for exclusion from the Settlement Class (hereinafter, "Request for Exclusion"), are hereby preliminarily enjoined (i) from filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating as a plaintiff, claimant, or class member in any other lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction based on the Released Claims; (ii) from filing, commencing, or prosecuting a lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other proceeding as a class action on behalf of any members of the Settlement Class (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to include class allegations or seeking class certification in a pending action), based on the Released Claims; and (iii) from attempting to effect an opt-out of a group, class, or subclass of individuals in any lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other proceeding based on the Released Claims.

13. Within thirty (30) days after entry of this Order, the Settlement Administrator shall cause copies of the mailed notice, in the form (without material variation) of Exhibit __ to the Declaration of Vincent Briganti, Esq., dated _____, 2019 ("_____ Briganti Decl."), to begin being mailed by United States first class mail, postage prepaid, as described in the proposed notice program attached to the Affidavit of Linda V. Young (Vice President, Media with A.B. Data's class action administration company), dated _____, 2019. _____ Briganti Decl. Ex. __. The foregoing mailings shall be completed no later than forty-five (45) days after the date of the entry of this Order.

14. As soon as practicable after the entry of this Order, the Settlement Administrator shall cause to be published a publication notice, without material variation from Exhibit __ to the

_____ Briganti Decl., as described in the proposed notice program attached to the Affidavit of Linda V. Young. _____ Briganti Decl. Ex. __.

15. The Settlement Administrator shall continue to maintain a Settlements website, www.EuroyenSettlement.com, until the termination of the administration of the Settlement. The website shall include copies of the Settlement Agreement (including exhibits), this Order, the mailed and publication notices, the motion for preliminary approval and all exhibits attached thereto, and the Plan of Allocation, and shall identify important deadlines and provide answers to frequently asked questions. The website may be amended as appropriate during the course of the administration of the Settlement. The Settlements website, www.EuroyenSettlement.com, shall be searchable on the Internet.

16. The Settlement Administrator shall continue to maintain a toll-free interactive voice response telephone system containing recorded answers to frequently asked questions, along with an option permitting callers to speak to live operators or to leave messages in a voicemail box.

17. The Court approves, in form and substance, the mailed notice, the publication notice, and the website as described herein. The Class Notice specified herein (i) is the best notice practicable; (ii) is reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency and status of this Action and the action captioned *Sonterra Capital Master Fund Ltd. et al. v. UBS AG et al.*, No. 15-cv-5844 (GBD) (S.D.N.Y.) (“*Sonterra*”) (collectively, the “Actions”) and of their right to object to or exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement; (iii) is reasonable and constitutes due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice of the Fairness Hearing; and (iv) fully satisfies all applicable requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Due Process, and any other applicable rules or laws.

18. At least seven (7) days prior to the Fairness Hearing, the Settlement Administrator shall serve and file a sworn statement attesting to compliance with the notice provisions in paragraphs 13-16 of this Order.

19. Any member of the Settlement Class and any governmental entity that objects to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of any term or aspect of the Settlement, the application for attorneys' fees and expenses, or the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment, or who otherwise wishes to be heard or intervene, may appear in person or by his or her attorney at the Fairness Hearing and present evidence or argument that may be proper and relevant. However, except for good cause shown, no person other than Class Counsel and the Settling Defendants' counsel shall be heard and no papers, briefs, pleadings, or other documents submitted by any member of the Settlement Class or any governmental entity shall be considered by the Court unless, not later than thirty (30) days prior to the Fairness Hearing, the member of the Settlement Class or the governmental entity files with the Court (and serves the same on or before the date of such filing by hand or overnight mail on the Class Counsel and counsel of record for the Settling Defendants) a statement of the objection or motion to intervene, as well as the specific legal and factual reasons for each objection or motion to intervene, including all support that the objecting member of the Settlement Class or the governmental entity wishes to bring to the Court's attention and all evidence the objecting member of the Settlement Class or governmental entity wishes to introduce in support of his, her, or its objection or motion. Such submission must contain: (1) a heading that refers to this Action by case name and case number; (2) a statement of the specific legal and factual basis for each objection or intervention argument, including whether the objection applies only to the objecting person, a specific subset of the Class or the entire Class; (3) a statement of whether the objecting or intervening person or entity intends to appear at the Fairness Hearing, either in person or through counsel and, if through counsel, a statement identifying that counsel by name, address, and

telephone number; (4) a description of any and all evidence the objecting person or entity may offer at the Fairness Hearing, including but not limited to the names, addresses, and expected testimony of any witnesses; all exhibits intended to be introduced at the Fairness Hearing; and documentary proof of the objecting person's membership in the Settlement Class; (5) a description of the Euroyen-Based Derivatives transactions entered into by the member of the Settlement Class that fall within the Settlement Class definition (including, for each transaction, the identity of the broker, the date of the transaction, the type (including direction) of the transaction, the counterparty (if any), the exchange on which the transaction occurred (if any), any transaction identification numbers, the rate, and the notional amount of the transaction); and (6) a list of other cases in which the objector or intervenor or counsel for the objector or intervenor has appeared either as an objector or counsel for an objector in the last five years. Persons who have timely submitted a valid Request for Exclusion are not members of the Settlement Class and are not entitled to object.

20. Any objection to the Settlement or motion to intervene submitted by a member of the Settlement Class pursuant to paragraph 19 of this Order must be signed by the member of the Settlement Class (or his, her, or its legally authorized representative), even if the member of the Settlement Class is represented by counsel. The right to object to the proposed Settlement or to intervene must be exercised individually by a member of the Settlement Class or the Person's attorney, and not as a member of a group, class, or subclass, except that such objections and motions to intervene may be submitted by a member of the Settlement Class's legally authorized representative.

21. Any motion to intervene must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Court.

22. All objectors shall make themselves available to be deposed by any Party in the Southern District of New York or the county of the objector's residence or principal place of business within seven (7) days of service of the objector's timely written objection.

23. Any member of the Settlement Class or governmental entity that fails to object or move to intervene in the manner described in paragraphs 19-22 of this Order shall be deemed to have waived the right to object (including any right of appeal) or to intervene and shall be forever barred from raising such objection or seeking to intervene in this or any other action or proceeding related to or arising out of the Settlement. Discovery concerning any purported objections to the Settlement and any purported motions to intervene shall be completed no later than five (5) days before the Fairness Hearing. Class Counsel, the Settling Defendants' counsel, and any other Persons wishing to oppose timely-filed objections in writing may do so not later than five (5) days before the Fairness Hearing.

24. Any Request for Exclusion from the Settlement by a member of the Settlement Class must be sent in writing by U.S. first class mail (or, if sent from outside the U.S., by a service that provides for guaranteed delivery within five (5) or fewer calendar days of mailing) to the Settlement Administrator at the address in the mailed notice and postmarked no later than thirty-five (35) days before the Fairness Hearing (the "Exclusion Bar Date"). Any Request for Exclusion must contain the following information:

- (a) the name, address, and telephone number of the member of the Settlement Class;
- (b) a list of all trade names or business names that the member of the Settlement Class requests to be excluded;
- (c) the name of this Action ("*Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd. et al.*, No. 12-cv-3419 (GBD) (S.D.N.Y.)");
- (d) a statement certifying such person is a member of the Settlement Class;
- (e) a description of the Euroyen-Based Derivatives transactions entered into by the member of the Settlement Class that fall within the Settlement Class definition (including, for

each transaction, the identity of the broker, the date of the transaction, the type (including direction) of the transaction, the counterparty (if any), the exchange on which the transaction occurred (if any), any transaction identification numbers, the rate, and the notional amount of the transaction);

- (f) a statement that “I/we hereby request that I/we be excluded from the Settlement Class”; and
- (g) a statement specifying whether such person is requesting exclusion from the Settlement Class as it relates to this Settlement.

25. Any Request for Exclusion from the Settlement submitted by a member of the Settlement Class pursuant to paragraph 24 of this Order must be signed by the member of the Settlement Class (or his, her, or its legally authorized representative) and notarized, even if the member of the Settlement Class is represented by counsel. The right to be excluded from the proposed Settlement must be exercised individually by a member of the Settlement Class or his, her, or its attorney, and not as a member of a group, class, or subclass, except that a Request for Exclusion may be submitted by a member of the Settlement Class’s legally authorized representative. A Request for Exclusion shall not be effective unless it provides all of the required information listed in paragraph 24 of this Order, complies with this paragraph 25, and is postmarked by the Exclusion Bar Date, as set forth in the Class Notice. The Parties may seek discovery, including by subpoena, from any member of the Settlement Class who submits any Request for Exclusion.

26. Any member of the Settlement Class who does not submit a timely and valid written Request for Exclusion from the Settlement Class shall be bound by all proceedings, orders, and judgments in the Actions, even if the member of the Settlement Class has previously initiated or subsequently initiates individual litigation or other proceedings encompassed by the Released Claims, and even if such member of the Settlement Class never received actual notice of the Actions or the proposed Settlement.

27. The Settlement Administrator shall promptly log each Request for Exclusion that it receives and provide copies of the log to Class Counsel and the Settling Defendants' counsel as requested.

28. The Settlement Administrator shall furnish Class Counsel and counsel for the Settling Defendants with copies of any and all objections, motions to intervene, notices of intention to appear, and other communications that come into its possession (except as otherwise expressly provided in the Settlement Agreement) within one (1) business day of receipt thereof.

29. At least twenty-eight (28) days before the Fairness Hearing, the Settlement Administrator shall prepare an opt-out list identifying all Persons, if any, who submitted a timely and valid Request for Exclusion from the Settlement Class, as provided in the Settlement Agreement, and an affidavit attesting to the accuracy of the opt-out list. The Settlement Administrator shall provide counsel for the Settling Defendants and Class Counsel with copies of any Requests for Exclusion (including all documents submitted with such requests) and any written revocations of Requests for Exclusion as soon as possible after receipt by the Settlement Administrator and, in any event, within one (1) business day after receipt by the Settlement Administrator and, in no event, later than twenty-eight (28) days before the Fairness Hearing. Class Counsel shall file the opt-out list and affidavit of the Settlement Administrator attesting to the accuracy of such list with the Court.

30. All Proofs of Claim and Release shall be submitted by members of the Settlement Class to the Settlement Administrator as directed in the mailed notice and must be postmarked no later than seventy-five (75) days after the Fairness Hearing.

31. To effectuate the Settlement and the notice provisions, the Settlement Administrator shall be responsible for: (a) establishing a P.O. Box (to be identified in the mailed notice and the publication notice), a toll-free interactive voice response telephone system and call center, and a website for the purpose of communicating with members of the Settlement Class; (b) effectuating

the Class Notice plan, including by running potential members of the Settlement Class's addresses through the National Change of Address Database to obtain the most current address for each person; (c) accepting and maintaining documents sent from members of the Settlement Class, including Proofs of Claim and Release, and other documents relating to the Settlement and its administration; (d) administering claims for allocation of funds among members of the Settlement Class; (e) determining the timeliness of each Proof of Claim and Release submitted by members of the Settlement Class, and the adequacy of the supporting documents submitted by members of the Settlement Class; (f) corresponding with members of the Settlement Class regarding any deficiencies in their Proofs of Claim and Release and regarding the final value of any allowed claim; (g) calculating each Authorized Claimant's allowed claim pursuant to the Plan of Allocation; (h) determining the timeliness and validity of all Requests for Exclusion received from members of the Settlement Class; (i) preparing the opt-out list and an affidavit attaching and attesting to the accuracy of such list, and providing same to Class Counsel and counsel for the Settling Defendants; and (j) providing Class Counsel and counsel for the Settling Defendants with copies of any Requests for Exclusion (including all documents submitted with such requests).

32. The Settlement Administrator shall maintain a copy of all paper communications related to the Settlement for a period of one (1) year after distribution of the Net Settlement Fund defined in the Settlement Agreement ("Net Settlement Fund"), and shall maintain a copy of all electronic communications related to the Settlement for a period of three (3) years after distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, after which time all such materials shall be destroyed, absent further direction from the Parties or the Court.

33. The Court preliminarily approves the establishment of the Settlement Fund defined in the Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Fund") as a qualified settlement fund pursuant to

Section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

34. The Court appoints Citibank, N.A. to act as Escrow Agent for the Settlement Fund.

35. Neither the Settlement Agreement (nor any of its exhibits), whether or not it shall become final, nor any negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it, nor the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment are or shall be deemed or construed to be an admission, adjudication, or evidence of: (a) any violation of any statute or law or of any liability or wrongdoing by the Settling Defendants or any Released Party; (b) the truth of any of the claims or allegations alleged in the Actions; (c) the incurrence of any damage, loss, or injury by any Person; (d) the existence or amount of any artificiality of any interest benchmark or other interest rate; or (e) the propriety of certification of a class other than solely for purposes of the Settlement. Further, neither the Settlement Agreement (including its exhibits), whether or not it shall become final, nor any negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it, nor the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment, may be discoverable or used directly or indirectly, in any way, whether in the Actions or in any other action or proceeding of any nature, whether by the Settlement Class or any Person, except if warranted by existing law in connection with a dispute under the Settlement Agreement or an action in which such documents are asserted as a defense. All rights of the Settling Defendants and the Representative Plaintiffs are reserved and retained if the Settlement does not become final in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

36. Class Counsel shall file their motions for payment of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses, incentive awards, and for final approval of the Settlement at least five (5) days prior to the Fairness Hearing.

37. If the Settlement is approved by the Court following the Fairness Hearing, a Final Approval Order and Final Judgment will be entered as described in the Settlement Agreement.

38. The Court may, for good cause, extend any of the deadlines set forth in this Order without notice to members of the Settlement Class, other than that which may be posted at the Court or on the Settlement website, www.EuroyenSettlement.com.

39. In the event that the Settlement is terminated in accordance with its provisions, such terminated Settlement Agreement and all proceedings had in connection therewith, including but not limited to all negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it, and any Requests for Exclusion from the Settlement previously submitted and deemed to be valid and timely, shall be null and void and be of no force and effect, except as expressly provided to the contrary in the Settlement Agreement, and shall be without prejudice to the *status quo ante* rights of the Parties.

40. If the Settlement is terminated or is ultimately not approved, the Court will modify any existing scheduling order to ensure that the Parties will have sufficient time to prepare for the resumption of litigation.

41. The Court's preliminary certification of the Settlement Class and appointment of the Representative Plaintiff as Class Representative, as provided herein are without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any non-settling Defendant to contest any other request by the Representative Plaintiff to certify a class. The Court's findings in this Preliminary Approval Order shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in the Actions, or appoint Class Representatives, and no Person may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as binding or persuasive authority with respect to any motion to certify such class or appoint Class Representatives.

42. Unless otherwise specified, the word "days," as used herein, means calendar days. In the event that any date or deadline set forth herein falls on a weekend or federal or state legal holiday, such date or deadline shall be deemed moved to the first business day thereafter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this _____ day of _____, 2019, at the Courthouse for the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

The Honorable George B. Daniels
United States District Court Judge

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

JEFFREY LAYDON, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

THE BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD., THE SUMITOMO TRUST AND BANKING CO., LTD., THE NORINCHUKIN BANK, MITSUBISHI UFJ TRUST AND BANKING CORPORATION, SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING CORPORATION, J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO., J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC, MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK, LTD., DEUTSCHE BANK AG, THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, UBS AG, UBS SECURITIES JAPAN CO. LTD., THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE SA, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS SECURITIES JAPAN LIMITED, BARCLAYS BANK PLC, CITIBANK, NA, CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, JAPAN LTD., CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS JAPAN, INC., COÖPERATIEVE CENTRALE RAIFFEISEN-BOERENLEENBANK B.A., HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK PLC, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, ICAP EUROPE LIMITED, R.P. MARTIN HOLDINGS LIMITED, MARTIN BROKERS (UK) LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, AND JOHN DOE NOS. 1-50,

Defendants.

Docket No. 12-cv-3419
(GBD) (HBP)

**EXHIBIT B-1 TO
AMENDED
STIPULATION AND
AGREEMENT OF
SETTLEMENT**

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER OF SETTLEMENT WITH THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD., SUMITOMO MITSUI TRUST BANK, LIMITED, AND RESONA BANK, LTD.

This matter came before the Court for a duly-noticed hearing on _____, 2019 (the “Fairness Hearing”), upon the Plaintiff’s Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement with The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd. (“The Bank of Yokohama”), Shinkin Central Bank (“Shinkin”), The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd. (“Shoko Chukin”), Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited and its predecessors (“Sumitomo”),¹ and Resona Bank, Ltd. (“Resona”) (collectively, the “Settling Defendants” and, individually, a “Settling Defendant”), which was consented to by the Settling Defendants (together with Plaintiff, the “Parties”). Due and adequate notice of the Settlement Agreement² having been given to the members of the Settlement Class, the Fairness Hearing having been held and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein, and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Final Approval Order hereby incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement and all terms used herein, except as otherwise expressly defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

2. For purposes only of the settlement of the Released Claims set forth in the Settlement Agreement (the “Settlement”), the Court hereby finally certifies the Settlement Class, as defined in the Court’s _____, 2019 Order Preliminarily Approving Proposed Settlement with The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd., Shinkin Central Bank, The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd., Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited, and Resona Bank, Ltd., Scheduling Hearing for Final Approval Thereof, and Approving the Proposed Form and Program of Notice to the Class (the “Preliminary Approval Order”). ECF No. _____. Based on the record, the Court reconfirms that the applicable provisions of

¹ Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited was formerly known, and was sued as The Sumitomo Trust and Banking Co., Ltd. (“STB”). The Chuo Mitsui Trust and Banking Company, Limited, which was also sued in the *Laydon* action, merged into STB prior to the action to form Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited.

² The “Settlement Agreement” is the Amended Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement with the Settling Defendants entered into on September 5, 2019.

Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied for purposes only of the Settlement.

3. In so holding, the Court finds that, solely for purposes of settlement, the Settlement Class meets all of the applicable requirements of FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a) and (b)(3). The Court hereby finds, in the specific context of this Settlement, that: (i) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members of the Settlement Class is impracticable, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(1); (ii) common questions of law and fact exist with regard to the Settling Defendants' alleged manipulation of Yen-LIBOR, Euroyen TIBOR, and the prices of Euroyen-Based Derivatives, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(2); (iii) the Plaintiff's claims in this litigation are typical of those of the members of the Settlement Class, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(3); and (iv) the Plaintiff's interests do not conflict with, and are co-extensive with, those of absent members of the Settlement Class, all of whose claims arise from the identical factual predicate, and the Plaintiff and Class Counsel have adequately represented the interests of all members of the Settlement Class, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(4). The Court also finds that common issues of fact and law predominate over any questions affecting only individual members and that a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating this controversy. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(3).

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Plaintiff, the Settling Defendants (in this Action only and for purposes of this Settlement), and all members of the Settlement Class and subject matter jurisdiction over the Action to approve the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits attached thereto under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

5. The Court finds that the mailed notice, publication notice, website, and Class Notice plan implemented pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the Court's Preliminary Approval Order: (a) constituted the best practicable notice; (b) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of

this Action and the action captioned *Sonterra Capital Master Fund Ltd. et al. v. UBS AG et al.*, No. 15-cv-5844 (GBD) (S.D.N.Y.) (“*Sonterra*”) (collectively, the “Actions”), of their right to exclude themselves from or object to the proposed Settlement, of their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing, of the Plan of Allocation, and of Class Counsel’s application for the Attorneys’ Fees Award and any Incentive Award, and for reimbursement of expenses associated with the Actions; (c) provided a full and fair opportunity to all members of the Settlement Class to be heard with respect to the foregoing matters; and (d) met all applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, Due Process, and any other applicable rules or law. Based upon The Bank of Yokohama’s, Shinkin’s, Shoko Chukin’s, Sumitomo’s, and Resona’s submissions to the Court dated ____, ____, ____, ____, and ____, respectively, the Court further finds that the Settling Defendants have complied with the obligations imposed on them under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715.

6. The Court finds that __ members of the Settlement Class have validly requested to be excluded from the Settlement Class as it relates to the Settlement. Those excluded members of the Settlement Class are identified at ECF No. _____.

7. The Court finds that __ objections to the proposed Settlement have been submitted. Notwithstanding the [lack of] objections, the Court has independently reviewed and considered all relevant factors and has conducted an independent examination into the propriety of the proposed Settlement. [The Court finds all objections are without merit and they are hereby overruled.]

8. It is hereby determined that Plaintiff and the Releasing Parties are bound by the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order, and the Action and the Released Claims against any of the Released Parties, as provided under the Settlement Agreement, are hereby dismissed with prejudice and released.

9. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby finally approves the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. This Court finds that the Settlement meets all requirements of Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class, including the Plaintiff. This Court further finds that the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement is the result of arm's-length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of the Parties, that Class Counsel and the Plaintiff adequately represented the Settlement Class for the purpose of entering into and implementing the Settlement Agreement, that the relief provided for the Settlement Class is adequate, and that the Settlement Agreement and Plan of Allocation treats class members equitably relative to each other. Accordingly, the Settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement is hereby approved in all respects. The Parties are hereby directed to carry out the Settlement Agreement in accordance with all of its terms and provisions, including the termination provisions.

10. Notwithstanding the entry of this Final Approval Order, if the Settlement Agreement is validly terminated by the Plaintiff or the Settling Defendants, is disapproved in whole or in part by the Court, any appellate court, or any other court of review, or does not become final, then the provisions of this Final Approval Order dismissing the Plaintiff's claims shall be null and void with respect to such Settlement; the Plaintiff's claims shall be reinstated; the Settling Defendants' defenses shall be reinstated; the certification of the Settlement Class and final approval of the proposed Settlement, and all actions associated with them, including but not limited to any requests for exclusion from the Settlement previously submitted and deemed to be valid, shall be vacated and be of no force and effect; the Settlement Agreement, including its exhibits, and any and all negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it and the releases set forth herein, shall be without prejudice to the rights of any Party, and of no force or effect; and the Parties shall be

returned to their respective positions before the Settlement Agreement was signed. Notwithstanding the language in this Section, any provision(s) in the Settlement Agreement that the Parties have agreed shall survive its termination shall continue to have the same force and effect intended by the Parties.

11. The Settlement Fund defined in the Settlement Agreement has been established as a trust and shall be established as a fiduciary account (the “Settlement Fiduciary Account”). The Court further approves the establishment of the Settlement Fiduciary Account under the Settlement Agreement as a qualified settlement fund pursuant to Section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

12. Without affecting the finality of the Final Approval Order for purposes of appeal, the Court reserves exclusive jurisdiction over the implementation and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and the Settlement contemplated thereby and over the enforcement of this Final Approval Order. The Court also retains exclusive jurisdiction to resolve any disputes that arise out of or relate to the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement, or the Settlement Fund (except for such disputes and controversies as are subject to Section 37 of the Settlement Agreement, which disputes and controversies shall be governed by the respective terms of such section), to consider or approve administration costs and fees, including but not limited to fees and expenses incurred to administer the Settlement after the entry of the Final Approval Order, and to consider or approve the amounts of distributions to members of the Settlement Class. In addition, without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order, the Plaintiff, the Settling Defendants, and the Settlement Class hereby irrevocably submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of or relating to this Final Approval Order or the Settlement Agreement. Any disputes involving the Plaintiff, Settling

Defendant, or members of the Settlement Class concerning the implementation of the Settlement Agreement shall be submitted to the Court.

13. Each member of the Settlement Class must execute a release and covenant not to sue in conformity with the Settlement Agreement, as incorporated into the Proof of Claim and Release form, in order to receive the member of the Settlement Class's share(s), if any, of the Net Settlement Fund defined in the Settlement Agreement. If the member of the Settlement Class submitted a timely Proof of Claim and Release pursuant to the class notice dated June 22, 2016 related to the \$58 million settlements with Defendants R.P. Martin Holdings Limited, Martin Brokers (UK) Ltd., Citigroup Inc., Citibank, N.A., Citibank Japan Ltd., Citigroup Global Markets Japan Inc., HSBC Holdings plc, and HSBC Bank plc; pursuant to the class notice dated August 3, 2017, amended September 14, 2017 related to the \$148 million settlements with Defendants Deutsche Bank AG, DB Group Services (UK) Ltd., JPMorgan Chase & Co., JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, and J.P. Morgan Securities plc; or pursuant to the class notice dated March 8, 2018 related to the \$30 million settlement with The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. (now known as MUFG Bank, Ltd.) and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation, the member of the Settlement Class does not have to submit a new Proof of Claim and Release to participate in the Settlement with the Settling Defendants. The Court hereby confirms the appointment of A.B. Data, Ltd. as Settlement Administrator, and directs that the Settlement Administrator shall ensure that each Proof of Claim and Release form provided to members of the Settlement Class contains a copy of such release and covenant not to sue. However, each member of the Settlement Class's claims shall be released pursuant to Section 12 of the Settlement Agreement, regardless of whether the Settlement Class Member executes a release and covenant not to sue pursuant to this paragraph 13.

14. The Court hereby approves the Releasing Parties' releases of the Released Claims against the Released Parties as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order as of the Effective Date.³

³ The Released Claims under the Settlement Agreement are as follows:

(A) The Releasing Parties finally and forever release and discharge from and covenant not to sue the Released Parties for any and all manner of claims, including unknown claims, causes of action, cross-claims, counter-claims, charges, liabilities, demands, judgments, suits, obligations, debts, setoffs, rights of recovery, or liabilities for any obligations of any kind whatsoever (however denominated), whether class, derivative or individual, in law or equity or arising under constitution, statute, regulation, ordinance, contract, common law or otherwise in nature, for fees, costs, penalties, fines, debts, expenses, attorneys' fees, interest and damages, whenever incurred, for restitution or any other payment of money, and for liabilities of any nature whatsoever (including joint and several), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, which Settling Class Members or any of them ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall or may have, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity, against the Released Parties arising from or relating in any way to conduct alleged in the Actions, or which could have been alleged in the Actions against the Released Parties, including but not limited to conduct concerning any Euroyen-Based Derivatives or any similar financial instruments priced, benchmarked, settled to or otherwise affected by Yen-LIBOR or Euroyen TIBOR purchased, sold, held, traded, and/or transacted by the Representative Plaintiffs, Class Members, and/or Settling Class Members (to the extent such similar financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.), or in which any of the foregoing otherwise had any interest, or including, but not limited to, any alleged manipulation of Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen-LIBOR under the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., or any other statute, regulation, or common law, or any purported conspiracy, collusion, racketeering activity, or other improper conduct relating to Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen-LIBOR (including, but not limited to, all claims under Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, and any other federal or state statute, regulation, or common law). The following claims shall not be released by this Settlement: (i) any claims against former employees of Settling Defendants arising solely from those former employees' conduct that occurred while not employed by any of the Settling Defendants; (ii) any claims against the named Defendants in these Actions other than Settling Defendants; (iii) any claims against inter-dealer brokers or their employees or agents when and solely to the extent they were engaged as employees or agents of the other Defendants or of inter-dealer brokers; or (iv) any claims against any Defendant who may be subsequently added in these Actions, other than any Released Party. For the avoidance of doubt, Released Claims does not include claims arising under foreign law based solely on transactions executed entirely outside the United States by Settling Class Members domiciled outside the United States.

(B) Although the foregoing release is not a general release, such release constitutes a waiver of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code (to the extent it applies to the Actions), which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

This release also constitutes a waiver of any and all provisions, rights, and benefits of any federal, state or foreign law, rule, regulation, or principle of law or equity that is similar, comparable, equivalent to, or which has the effect of, Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. The Settling Class Members acknowledge that they are aware that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to, or different from, those facts which they know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement, but that it is their intention to release fully, finally, and forever all of the Released Claims, and in furtherance of such intention, the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts. In entering and making this Agreement, the Parties assume the risk of any mistake of fact or law and the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding any mistake of fact or law.

15. The Court declares that the Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Order shall be binding on, and shall have res judicata and preclusive effect in, all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings against a Released Party involving the Released Claims that are maintained by or on behalf of the Plaintiff or any other members of the Settlement Class, and shall also be binding on their respective predecessors, successors and assigns, direct and indirect parents, subsidiaries and affiliates, and on behalf of their current and former officers, directors, employees, agents, principals, members, trustees, estates, participants, representatives, fiduciaries, beneficiaries, or legal representatives in their capacity as such, and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of each of the foregoing in their capacity as such, regardless of whether the member of the Settlement Class previously initiated or subsequently initiates individual litigation or other proceedings involving the Released Claims, and even if such member of the Settlement Class never received actual notice of the Actions or this proposed Settlement.

16. The Court permanently bars and enjoins the Plaintiff and all members of the Settlement Class from: (a) filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating (as class members or otherwise) in any other lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction against a Settling Defendant or any of the Released Parties based on the Released Claims; (b) filing, commencing, or prosecuting a lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other proceeding as a class action on behalf of any members of the Settlement Class (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to include class allegations or seeking class certification in a pending action), against a Settling Defendant or any of the Released Parties based on the Released Claims; or (c) organizing members of the Settlement Class into a separate group, class, or subclass for purposes of pursuing as a purported class action any lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other proceeding (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to

include class allegations, or seeking class certification in a pending action) against a Settling Defendant or any of the Released Parties based on the Released Claims.

17. The Court permanently bars and enjoins claims by any Person against a Settling Defendant or any of the Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) for contribution, indemnification, or similar claims (however denominated) for all or a portion of any amounts paid or awarded in the Actions by way of settlement, judgment, or otherwise. To the extent permitted by law, the Court permanently bars and enjoins claims by the Settling Defendants and any Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) for contribution, indemnification, or similar claims (however denominated) for all or a portion of any amounts paid or awarded in the Actions by way of settlement, judgment, or otherwise against (a) Any of the other Defendants currently named in the Actions; (b) Any other Person formerly named as a party in the Actions; or (c) Any other Person subsequently added or joined as a party in the Actions. Should any court determine that any Defendant is/was legally entitled to any kind of set-off, apportionment, contribution, indemnification, or similar claims from a Settling Defendant arising out of or related to Released Claims, any money judgment subsequently obtained by the Releasing Parties against any Defendant shall be reduced to an amount such that, upon paying the entire amount, the Defendant would have no claim for set-off, apportionment, contribution, indemnification, or similar claims against the Settling Defendant.

18. Neither the Settlement Agreement (nor its exhibits), whether or not it shall become final, nor any negotiations, documents exchanged among counsel for the Plaintiff and the Settling Defendants in connection with settlement discussions, and discussions associated with them, nor the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment are or shall be deemed or construed to be an admission, adjudication, or evidence of: (a) any violation of any statute or law or of any liability or wrongdoing by the Settling Defendants or any Released Party; (b) the truth of any of the claims or allegations

alleged in the Actions; (c) the incurrence of any damage, loss, or injury by any Person; (d) the existence or amount of any artificiality of any interest benchmark or other interest rate; or (e) the propriety of certification of a class other than solely for purposes of the Settlement. Further, neither the Settlement Agreement (nor its exhibits), whether or not it shall become final, nor any negotiations, documents exchanged among counsel for the Plaintiff and the Settling Defendants in connection with settlement discussions, and discussions associated with them, nor the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment, may be discoverable, offered or received in evidence, or used directly or indirectly, in any way, whether in the Actions or in any other action or proceeding of any nature, by any Person, except if warranted by existing law in connection with a dispute under the Settlement Agreement or an action (including these Actions) in which the Settlement Agreement is asserted as a defense. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, the foregoing provisions do not apply to discovery or cooperation materials provided by the Settling Defendants to the Plaintiff or by the Plaintiff to the Settling Defendants in connection with the Settlement or the Actions. The Parties, without the need for approval from the Court, may adopt such amendments, modifications, and expansions of the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits thereto as (i) shall be consistent in all material respects with the Final Approval Order; and (ii) do not limit the rights of Settling Class Members.

19. The Court finds that, during the course of the Actions, the Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to each other. Any data or other information provided by members of the Settlement Class in connection with the submission of claims shall be held in strict confidence, available only to the Settlement Administrator, Class Counsel, and experts or consultants acting on behalf of the Settlement Class. In no event shall a member of the Settlement Class's data or

personal information be made publicly available, except as provided for herein or upon Court Order for good cause shown.

20. The Proof of Claim and Release form, Plan of Allocation, and the Supplemental Agreement referenced in Section 24 of the Settlement Agreement are each approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate.

21. The word “days,” as used herein, means calendar days. In the event that any date or deadline set forth herein falls on a weekend or federal or state legal holiday, such date or deadline shall be deemed moved to the first business day thereafter.

22. The Court’s certification of the Settlement Class and appointment of the Plaintiff as Class Representative, as provided herein, is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant to contest any other request by the Plaintiff to certify a class. The Court’s findings in this Final Approval Order shall have no effect on the Court’s ruling on any motion to certify any class or to appoint Class Representatives in the Actions or any challenge to the Plaintiff’s capacity to litigate or to represent a putative class, and no party may cite or refer to the Court’s approval of the Settlement Class as binding or persuasive authority with respect to any such motion or challenge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this ____ day of _____, 2019.

Honorable George B. Daniels
United States District Judge

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SONTERRA CAPITAL MASTER FUND, LTD., HAYMAN CAPITAL MASTER FUND, L.P., JAPAN MACRO OPPORTUNITIES MASTER FUND, L.P., and CALIFORNIA STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT SYSTEM on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

UBS AG, UBS SECURITIES JAPAN CO. LTD., MIZUHO BANK, LTD., THE BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD., THE SUMITOMO TRUST AND BANKING CO., LTD., THE NORINCHUKIN BANK, MITSUBISHI UFJ TRUST AND BANKING CORPORATION, SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING CORPORATION, RESONA BANK, LTD., J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC, MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK, LTD., DEUTSCHE BANK AG, DB GROUP SERVICES UK LIMITED, MIZUHO TRUST AND BANKING CO., LTD., THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE SA, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS SECURITIES JAPAN LIMITED, RBS SECURITIES INC., BARCLAYS BANK PLC, BARCLAYS PLC, BARCLAYS CAPITAL INC., CITIBANK, NA, CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, JAPAN LTD., CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS JAPAN, INC., COÖPERATIEVE CENTRALE RAIFFEISEN-BOERENLEENBANK B.A., HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK PLC, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, LLOYDS BANK PLC, ICAP PLC, ICAP EUROPE LIMITED, R.P. MARTIN HOLDINGS LIMITED, MARTIN BROKERS (UK) LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL, AND JOHN DOE NOS. 1-50,

Defendants.

Docket No. 15-cv-5844
(GBD) (HBP)

**EXHIBIT B-2 TO
AMENDED
STIPULATION AND
AGREEMENT OF
SETTLEMENT**

[PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER OF SETTLEMENT WITH THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD., SUMITOMO MITSUI TRUST BANK, LIMITED, AND RESONA BANK, LTD.

This matter came before the Court for a duly-noticed hearing on _____, 2019 (the “Fairness Hearing”), upon the Plaintiffs’ Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement with The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd. (“The Bank of Yokohama”), Shinkin Central Bank (“Shinkin”), The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd. (“Shoko Chukin”), Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited and its predecessors (“Sumitomo”),¹ and Resona Bank, Ltd. (“Resona”) (collectively, the “Settling Defendants” and, individually, a “Settling Defendant”), which was consented to by the Settling Defendants (together with Plaintiffs, the “Parties”). Due and adequate notice of the Settlement Agreement² having been given to the members of the Settlement Class, the Fairness Hearing having been held and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein, and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. This Final Approval Order hereby incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement and all terms used herein, except as otherwise expressly defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

2. For purposes only of the settlement of the Released Claims set forth in the Settlement Agreement (the “Settlement”), the Court hereby finally certifies the Settlement Class, as defined in the Court’s _____, 2019 Order Preliminarily Approving Proposed Settlement with The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd., Shinkin Central Bank, The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd., Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited, and Resona Bank, Ltd., Scheduling Hearing for Final Approval Thereof, and Approving the Proposed Form and Program of Notice to the Class (the “Preliminary Approval Order”). ECF No. ___ in *Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd., et al.*, No. 12-cv-3419 (GBD) (S.D.N.Y.)

¹ Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited was formerly known, and was sued as The Sumitomo Trust and Banking Co., Ltd. (“STB”). The Chuo Mitsui Trust and Banking Company, Limited, which was also sued in the *Laydon* action, merged into STB prior to the action to form Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited.

² The “Settlement Agreement” is the Amended Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement with the Settling Defendants entered into on September 5, 2019.

(“*Laydon*”). Based on the record, the Court reconfirms that the applicable provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied for purposes only of the Settlement.

3. In so holding, the Court finds that, solely for purposes of settlement, the Settlement Class meets all of the applicable requirements of FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a) and (b)(3). The Court hereby finds, in the specific context of this Settlement, that: (i) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members of the Settlement Class is impracticable, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(1); (ii) common questions of law and fact exist with regard to the Settling Defendants’ alleged manipulation of Yen-LIBOR, Euroyen TIBOR, and the prices of Euroyen-Based Derivatives, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(2); (iii) the Plaintiffs’ claims in this litigation are typical of those of the members of the Settlement Class, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(3); and (iv) the Plaintiffs’ interests do not conflict with, and are co-extensive with, those of absent members of the Settlement Class, all of whose claims arise from the identical factual predicate, and the Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have adequately represented the interests of all members of the Settlement Class, FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(4). The Court also finds that common issues of fact and law predominate over any questions affecting only individual members and that a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating this controversy. FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(3).

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Plaintiffs, the Settling Defendants (in this Action only and for purposes of this Settlement), and all members of the Settlement Class and subject matter jurisdiction over the Action to approve the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits attached thereto under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

5. The Court finds that the mailed notice, publication notice, website, and Class Notice plan implemented pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the Court’s Preliminary Approval Order: (a) constituted the best practicable notice; (b) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of

this Action and *Laydon* (collectively, the “Actions”), of their right to exclude themselves from or object to the proposed Settlement, of their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing, of the Plan of Allocation, and of Class Counsel’s application for the Attorneys’ Fees Award and any Incentive Award, and for reimbursement of expenses associated with the Actions; (c) provided a full and fair opportunity to all members of the Settlement Class to be heard with respect to the foregoing matters; and (d) met all applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, Due Process, and any other applicable rules or law. Based upon The Bank of Yokohama’s, Shinkin’s, Shoko Chukin’s, Sumitomo’s, and Resona’s submissions to the Court dated ____, ____, ____, ____, and ____, respectively, the Court further finds that the Settling Defendants have complied with the obligations imposed on them under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715.

6. The Court finds that __ members of the Settlement Class have validly requested to be excluded from the Settlement Class as it relates to the Settlement. Those excluded members of the Settlement Class are identified at ECF No. _____.

7. The Court finds that __ objections to the proposed Settlement have been submitted. Notwithstanding the [lack of] objections, the Court has independently reviewed and considered all relevant factors and has conducted an independent examination into the propriety of the proposed Settlement. [The Court finds all objections are without merit and they are hereby overruled.]

8. It is hereby determined that Plaintiffs and the Releasing Parties are bound by the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order, and the Action and the Released Claims against any of the Released Parties, as provided under the Settlement Agreement, are hereby dismissed with prejudice and released.

9. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby finally approves the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. This Court finds that the

Settlement meets all requirements of Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class, including the Plaintiffs. This Court further finds that the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement is the result of arm's-length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of the Parties, that Class Counsel and the Plaintiffs adequately represented the Settlement Class for the purpose of entering into and implementing the Settlement Agreement, that the relief provided for the Settlement Class is adequate, and that the Settlement Agreement and Plan of Allocation treats class members equitably relative to each other. Accordingly, the Settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement is hereby approved in all respects. The Parties are hereby directed to carry out the Settlement Agreement in accordance with all of its terms and provisions, including the termination provisions.

10. Notwithstanding the entry of this Final Approval Order, if the Settlement Agreement is validly terminated by the Plaintiffs or the Settling Defendants, is disapproved in whole or in part by the Court, any appellate court, or any other court of review, or does not become final, then the provisions of this Final Approval Order dismissing the Plaintiffs' claims shall be null and void with respect to such Settlement; the Plaintiffs' claims shall be reinstated; the Settling Defendants' defenses shall be reinstated; the certification of the Settlement Class and final approval of the proposed Settlement, and all actions associated with them, including but not limited to any requests for exclusion from the Settlement previously submitted and deemed to be valid, shall be vacated and be of no force and effect; the Settlement Agreement, including its exhibits, and any and all negotiations, documents, and discussions associated with it and the releases set forth herein, shall be without prejudice to the rights of any Party, and of no force or effect; and the Parties shall be returned to their respective positions before the Settlement Agreement was signed. Notwithstanding the language in this Section, any provision(s) in the Settlement Agreement that the Parties have

agreed shall survive its termination shall continue to have the same force and effect intended by the Parties.

11. The Settlement Fund defined in the Settlement Agreement has been established as a trust and shall be established as a fiduciary account (the “Settlement Fiduciary Account”). The Court further approves the establishment of the Settlement Fiduciary Account under the Settlement Agreement as a qualified settlement fund pursuant to Section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

12. Without affecting the finality of the Final Approval Order for purposes of appeal, the Court reserves exclusive jurisdiction over the implementation and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and the Settlement contemplated thereby and over the enforcement of this Final Approval Order. The Court also retains exclusive jurisdiction to resolve any disputes that arise out of or relate to the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement, or the Settlement Fund (except for such disputes and controversies as are subject to Section 37 of the Settlement Agreement, which disputes and controversies shall be governed by the respective terms of such section), to consider or approve administration costs and fees, including but not limited to fees and expenses incurred to administer the Settlement after the entry of the Final Approval Order, and to consider or approve the amounts of distributions to members of the Settlement Class. In addition, without affecting the finality of this Final Approval Order, the Plaintiffs, the Settling Defendants, and the Settlement Class hereby irrevocably submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of or relating to this Final Approval Order or the Settlement Agreement. Any disputes involving the Plaintiffs, Settling Defendant, or members of the Settlement Class concerning the implementation of the Settlement Agreement shall be submitted to the Court.

13. Each member of the Settlement Class must execute a release and covenant not to sue in conformity with the Settlement Agreement, as incorporated into the Proof of Claim and Release form, in order to receive the member of the Settlement Class's share(s), if any, of the Net Settlement Fund defined in the Settlement Agreement. If the member of the Settlement Class submitted a timely Proof of Claim and Release pursuant to the class notice dated June 22, 2016 related to the \$58 million settlements with Defendants R.P. Martin Holdings Limited, Martin Brokers (UK) Ltd., Citigroup Inc., Citibank, N.A., Citibank Japan Ltd., Citigroup Global Markets Japan Inc., HSBC Holdings plc, and HSBC Bank plc; pursuant to the class notice dated August 3, 2017, amended September 14, 2017 related to the \$148 million settlements with Defendants Deutsche Bank AG, DB Group Services (UK) Ltd., JPMorgan Chase & Co., JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, and J.P. Morgan Securities plc; or pursuant to the class notice dated March 8, 2018 related to the \$30 million settlement with The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. (now known as MUFG Bank, Ltd.) and Mitsubishi UFJ Trust and Banking Corporation, the member of the Settlement Class does not have to submit a new Proof of Claim and Release to participate in the Settlement with the Settling Defendants. The Court hereby confirms the appointment of A.B. Data, Ltd. as Settlement Administrator, and directs that the Settlement Administrator shall ensure that each Proof of Claim and Release form provided to members of the Settlement Class contains a copy of such release and covenant not to sue. However, each member of the Settlement Class's claims shall be released pursuant to Section 12 of the Settlement Agreement, regardless of whether the Settlement Class Member executes a release and covenant not to sue pursuant to this paragraph 13.

14. The Court hereby approves the Releasing Parties' releases of the Released Claims against the Released Parties as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and this Final Approval Order as of the Effective Date.³

³ The Released Claims under the Settlement Agreement are as follows:

15. The Court declares that the Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Order shall be binding on, and shall have res judicata and preclusive effect in, all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings against a Released Party involving the Released Claims that are maintained by or on behalf of the Plaintiffs or any other members of the Settlement Class, and shall

(A) The Releasing Parties finally and forever release and discharge from and covenant not to sue the Released Parties for any and all manner of claims, including unknown claims, causes of action, cross-claims, counter-claims, charges, liabilities, demands, judgments, suits, obligations, debts, setoffs, rights of recovery, or liabilities for any obligations of any kind whatsoever (however denominated), whether class, derivative or individual, in law or equity or arising under constitution, statute, regulation, ordinance, contract, common law or otherwise in nature, for fees, costs, penalties, fines, debts, expenses, attorneys' fees, interest and damages, whenever incurred, for restitution or any other payment of money, and for liabilities of any nature whatsoever (including joint and several), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, which Settling Class Members or any of them ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall or may have, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity, against the Released Parties arising from or relating in any way to conduct alleged in the Actions, or which could have been alleged in the Actions against the Released Parties, including but not limited to conduct concerning any Euroyen-Based Derivatives or any similar financial instruments priced, benchmarked, settled to or otherwise affected by Yen-LIBOR or Euroyen TIBOR purchased, sold, held, traded, and/or transacted by the Representative Plaintiffs, Class Members, and/or Settling Class Members (to the extent such similar financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.), or in which any of the foregoing otherwise had any interest, or including, but not limited to, any alleged manipulation of Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen-LIBOR under the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., or any other statute, regulation, or common law, or any purported conspiracy, collusion, racketeering activity, or other improper conduct relating to Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen-LIBOR (including, but not limited to, all claims under Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, and any other federal or state statute, regulation, or common law). The following claims shall not be released by this Settlement: (i) any claims against former employees of Settling Defendants arising solely from those former employees' conduct that occurred while not employed by any of the Settling Defendants; (ii) any claims against the named Defendants in these Actions other than Settling Defendants; (iii) any claims against inter-dealer brokers or their employees or agents when and solely to the extent they were engaged as employees or agents of the other Defendants or of inter-dealer brokers; or (iv) any claims against any Defendant who may be subsequently added in these Actions, other than any Released Party. For the avoidance of doubt, Released Claims does not include claims arising under foreign law based solely on transactions executed entirely outside the United States by Settling Class Members domiciled outside the United States.

(B) Although the foregoing release is not a general release, such release constitutes a waiver of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code (to the extent it applies to the Actions), which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

This release also constitutes a waiver of any and all provisions, rights, and benefits of any federal, state or foreign law, rule, regulation, or principle of law or equity that is similar, comparable, equivalent to, or which has the effect of, Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. The Settling Class Members acknowledge that they are aware that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to, or different from, those facts which they know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement, but that it is their intention to release fully, finally, and forever all of the Released Claims, and in furtherance of such intention, the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts. In entering and making this Agreement, the Parties assume the risk of any mistake of fact or law and the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding any mistake of fact or law.

also be binding on their respective predecessors, successors and assigns, direct and indirect parents, subsidiaries and affiliates, and on behalf of their current and former officers, directors, employees, agents, principals, members, trustees, estates, participants, representatives, fiduciaries, beneficiaries, or legal representatives in their capacity as such, and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of each of the foregoing in their capacity as such, regardless of whether the member of the Settlement Class previously initiated or subsequently initiates individual litigation or other proceedings involving the Released Claims, and even if such member of the Settlement Class never received actual notice of the Actions or this proposed Settlement.

16. The Court permanently bars and enjoins the Plaintiffs and all members of the Settlement Class from: (a) filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating (as class members or otherwise) in any other lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction against a Settling Defendant or any of the Released Parties based on the Released Claims; (b) filing, commencing, or prosecuting a lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other proceeding as a class action on behalf of any members of the Settlement Class (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to include class allegations or seeking class certification in a pending action), against a Settling Defendant or any of the Released Parties based on the Released Claims; or (c) organizing members of the Settlement Class into a separate group, class, or subclass for purposes of pursuing as a purported class action any lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, arbitration, or other proceeding (including by seeking to amend a pending complaint to include class allegations, or seeking class certification in a pending action) against a Settling Defendant or any of the Released Parties based on the Released Claims.

17. The Court permanently bars and enjoins claims by any Person against a Settling Defendant or any of the Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) for contribution, indemnification, or similar claims (however denominated) for all or a portion of any amounts paid

or awarded in the Actions by way of settlement, judgment, or otherwise. To the extent permitted by law, the Court permanently bars and enjoins claims by the Settling Defendants and any Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) for contribution, indemnification, or similar claims (however denominated) for all or a portion of any amounts paid or awarded in the Actions by way of settlement, judgment, or otherwise against (a) Any of the other Defendants currently named in the Actions; (b) Any other Person formerly named as a party in the Actions; or (c) Any other Person subsequently added or joined as a party in the Actions. Should any court determine that any Defendant is/was legally entitled to any kind of set-off, apportionment, contribution, indemnification, or similar claims from a Settling Defendant arising out of or related to Released Claims, any money judgment subsequently obtained by the Releasing Parties against any Defendant shall be reduced to an amount such that, upon paying the entire amount, the Defendant would have no claim for set-off, apportionment, contribution, indemnification, or similar claims against the Settling Defendant.

18. Neither the Settlement Agreement (nor its exhibits), whether or not it shall become final, nor any negotiations, documents exchanged among counsel for the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants in connection with settlement discussions, and discussions associated with them, nor the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment are or shall be deemed or construed to be an admission, adjudication, or evidence of: (a) any violation of any statute or law or of any liability or wrongdoing by the Settling Defendants or any Released Party; (b) the truth of any of the claims or allegations alleged in the Actions; (c) the incurrence of any damage, loss, or injury by any Person; (d) the existence or amount of any artificiality of any interest benchmark or other interest rate; or (e) the propriety of certification of a class other than solely for purposes of the Settlement. Further, neither the Settlement Agreement (nor its exhibits), whether or not it shall become final, nor any negotiations, documents exchanged among counsel for the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants in

connection with settlement discussions, and discussions associated with them, nor the Final Approval Order and Final Judgment, may be discoverable, offered or received in evidence, or used directly or indirectly, in any way, whether in the Actions or in any other action or proceeding of any nature, by any Person, except if warranted by existing law in connection with a dispute under the Settlement Agreement or an action (including these Actions) in which the Settlement Agreement is asserted as a defense. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, the foregoing provisions do not apply to discovery or cooperation materials provided by the Settling Defendants to the Plaintiffs or by the Plaintiffs to the Settling Defendants in connection with the Settlement or the Actions. The Parties, without the need for approval from the Court, may adopt such amendments, modifications, and expansions of the Settlement Agreement and all exhibits thereto as (i) shall be consistent in all material respects with the Final Approval Order; and (ii) do not limit the rights of Settling Class Members.

19. The Court finds that, during the course of the Actions, the Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to each other. Any data or other information provided by members of the Settlement Class in connection with the submission of claims shall be held in strict confidence, available only to the Settlement Administrator, Class Counsel, and experts or consultants acting on behalf of the Settlement Class. In no event shall a member of the Settlement Class's data or personal information be made publicly available, except as provided for herein or upon Court Order for good cause shown.

20. The Proof of Claim and Release form, Plan of Allocation, and the Supplemental Agreement referenced in Section 24 of the Settlement Agreement are each approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate.

21. The word “days,” as used herein, means calendar days. In the event that any date or deadline set forth herein falls on a weekend or federal or state legal holiday, such date or deadline shall be deemed moved to the first business day thereafter.

22. The Court’s certification of the Settlement Class and appointment of the Plaintiffs as Class Representatives, as provided herein, is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant to contest any other request by the Plaintiffs to certify a class. The Court’s findings in this Final Approval Order shall have no effect on the Court’s ruling on any motion to certify any class or to appoint Class Representatives in the Actions or any challenge to the Plaintiffs’ capacity to litigate or to represent a putative class, and no party may cite or refer to the Court’s approval of the Settlement Class as binding or persuasive authority with respect to any such motion or challenge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this ____ day of _____, 2019.

Honorable George B. Daniels
United States District Judge

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

JEFFREY LAYDON, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

- against -

THE BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD., THE SUMITOMO TRUST AND BANKING CO., LTD., THE NORINCHUKIN BANK, MITSUBISHI UFJ TRUST AND BANKING CORPORATION, SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING CORPORATION, J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO., J.P. MORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC, MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK, LTD., DEUTSCHE BANK AG, THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, UBS AG, UBS SECURITIES JAPAN CO. LTD., THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE SA, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS SECURITIES JAPAN LIMITED, BARCLAYS BANK PLC, CITIBANK, NA, CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, JAPAN LTD., CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS JAPAN, INC., COÖPERATIEVE CENTRALE RAIFFEISEN-BOERENLEENBANK B.A., HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK PLC, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, ICAP EUROPE LIMITED, R.P. MARTIN HOLDINGS LIMITED, MARTIN BROKERS (UK) LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, AND JOHN DOE NOS. 1-50,

Defendants.

Docket No. 12-cv-3419
(GBD) (HBP)

**EXHIBIT C-1 TO
AMENDED
STIPULATION AND
AGREEMENT OF
SETTLEMENT**

**[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT
AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA,
LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD.,
SUMITOMO MITSUI TRUST BANK, LIMITED, AND RESONA BANK, LTD.**

This matter came before the Court for a duly-noticed hearing on _____, 2019 (the “Fairness Hearing”), upon the Plaintiff’s Motion for Final Approval of Settlement with The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd. (“The Bank of Yokohama”), Shinkin Central Bank (“Shinkin”), The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd. (“Shoko Chukin”), Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited and its predecessors (“Sumitomo”),¹ and Resona Bank, Ltd. (“Resona”) (collectively, the “Settling Defendants” and, individually, a “Settling Defendant”), which was consented to by the Settling Defendants (together with Plaintiff, the “Parties”). The Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. This Final Judgment hereby incorporates by reference the definitions in the Amended Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement with the Settling Defendants entered into on September 5, 2019 (the “Settlement Agreement”), and all terms used herein, except as otherwise expressly defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

2. The Court finds that it has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 to enter this Final Judgment and that it has personal jurisdiction over the Plaintiff, the Settling Defendants (in this Action only and for purposes of this Settlement), and all members of the Settlement Class.

3. This Action, including each claim in this Action, is hereby dismissed with prejudice on the merits as to the Settling Defendants and without fees or costs.

4. Upon the Settlement Agreement becoming final in accordance with its terms, all of the following claims shall be released. Specifically:

(A) The Releasing Parties finally and forever release and discharge from and covenant not to sue the Released Parties for any and all manner of claims,

¹ Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited was formerly known, and was sued as The Sumitomo Trust and Banking Co., Ltd. (“STB”). The Chuo Mitsui Trust and Banking Company, Limited, which was also sued in the *Laydon* action, merged into STB prior to the action to form Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited.

including unknown claims, causes of action, cross-claims, counter-claims, charges, liabilities, demands, judgments, suits, obligations, debts, setoffs, rights of recovery, or liabilities for any obligations of any kind whatsoever (however denominated), whether class, derivative or individual, in law or equity or arising under constitution, statute, regulation, ordinance, contract, common law or otherwise in nature, for fees, costs, penalties, fines, debts, expenses, attorneys' fees, interest and damages, whenever incurred, for restitution or any other payment of money, and for liabilities of any nature whatsoever (including joint and several), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, which Settling Class Members or any of them ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall or may have, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity, against the Released Parties arising from or relating in any way to conduct alleged in the Actions, or which could have been alleged in the Actions against the Released Parties, including but not limited to conduct concerning any Euroyen-Based Derivatives or any similar financial instruments priced, benchmarked, settled to or otherwise affected by Yen-LIBOR or Euroyen TIBOR purchased, sold, held, traded, and/or transacted by the Representative Plaintiffs, Class Members, and/or Settling Class Members (to the extent such similar financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.), or in which any of the foregoing otherwise had any interest, or including, but not limited to, any alleged manipulation of Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen-LIBOR under the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., or any other statute, regulation, or common law, or any purported conspiracy, collusion, racketeering activity, or other improper conduct relating to Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen-LIBOR (including, but not limited to, all claims under Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, and any other federal or state statute, regulation, or common law). The following claims shall not be released by this Settlement: (i) any claims against former employees of Settling Defendants arising solely from those former employees' conduct that occurred while not employed by any of the Settling Defendants; (ii) any claims against the named Defendants in these Actions other than Settling Defendants; (iii) any claims against inter-dealer brokers or their employees or agents when and solely to the extent they were engaged as employees or agents of the other Defendants or of inter-dealer brokers; or (iv) any claims against any Defendant who may be subsequently added in these Actions, other than any Released Party. For the avoidance of doubt, Released Claims does not include claims arising under foreign law based solely on transactions executed entirely outside the United States by Settling Class Members domiciled outside the United States.

(B) Although the foregoing release is not a general release, such release constitutes a waiver of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code (to the extent it applies to the Actions), which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS
WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR
SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE

TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

This release also constitutes a waiver of any and all provisions, rights, and benefits of any federal, state or foreign law, rule, regulation, or principle of law or equity that is similar, comparable, equivalent to, or which has the effect of, Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. The Settling Class Members acknowledge that they are aware that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to, or different from, those facts which they know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement, but that it is their intention to release fully, finally, and forever all of the Released Claims, and in furtherance of such intention, the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts. In entering and making this Agreement, the Parties assume the risk of any mistake of fact or law and the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding any mistake of fact or law.

5. The Court, finding no just reason for delay, directs pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that the judgment of dismissal as to the Settling Defendants shall be final and entered forthwith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this ____ day of _____, 2019.

Honorable George B. Daniels
United States District Judge

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SONTERRA CAPITAL MASTER FUND, LTD., HAYMAN CAPITAL MASTER FUND, L.P., JAPAN MACRO OPPORTUNITIES MASTER FUND, L.P., and CALIFORNIA STATE TEACHERS' RETIREMENT SYSTEM on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

UBS AG, UBS SECURITIES JAPAN CO. LTD., MIZUHO BANK, LTD., THE BANK OF TOKYO-MITSUBISHI UFJ, LTD., THE SUMITOMO TRUST AND BANKING CO., LTD., THE NORINCHUKIN BANK, MITSUBISHI UFJ TRUST AND BANKING CORPORATION, SUMITOMO MITSUI BANKING CORPORATION, RESONA BANK, LTD., J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES PLC, MIZUHO CORPORATE BANK, LTD., DEUTSCHE BANK AG, DB GROUP SERVICES UK LIMITED, MIZUHO TRUST AND BANKING CO., LTD., THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA, LTD., SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE SA, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND GROUP PLC, THE ROYAL BANK OF SCOTLAND PLC, RBS SECURITIES JAPAN LIMITED, RBS SECURITIES INC., BARCLAYS BANK PLC, BARCLAYS PLC, BARCLAYS CAPITAL INC., CITIBANK, NA, CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, JAPAN LTD., CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS JAPAN, INC., COÖPERATIEVE CENTRALE RAIFFEISEN-BOERENLEENBANK B.A., HSBC HOLDINGS PLC, HSBC BANK PLC, LLOYDS BANKING GROUP PLC, LLOYDS BANK PLC, ICAP PLC, ICAP EUROPE LIMITED, R.P. MARTIN HOLDINGS LIMITED, MARTIN BROKERS (UK) LTD., TULLETT PREBON PLC, BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION, BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., MERRILL LYNCH INTERNATIONAL, AND JOHN DOE NOS. 1-50,

Defendants.

Docket No. 12-cv-3419
(GBD) (HBP)

**EXHIBIT C-2 TO
AMENDED
STIPULATION AND
AGREEMENT OF
SETTLEMENT**

**[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT
AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF THE BANK OF YOKOHAMA,
LTD., SHINKIN CENTRAL BANK, THE SHOKO CHUKIN BANK, LTD.,
SUMITOMO MITSUI TRUST BANK, LIMITED, AND RESONA BANK, LTD.**

This matter came before the Court for a duly-noticed hearing on _____, 2019 (the “Fairness Hearing”), upon the Plaintiffs’ Motion for Final Approval of Settlement with The Bank of Yokohama, Ltd. (“The Bank of Yokohama”), Shinkin Central Bank (“Shinkin”), The Shoko Chukin Bank, Ltd. (“Shoko Chukin”), Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited and its predecessors (“Sumitomo”),¹ and Resona Bank, Ltd. (“Resona”) (collectively, the “Settling Defendants” and, individually, a “Settling Defendant”), which was consented to by the Settling Defendants (together with Plaintiffs, the “Parties”). The Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. This Final Judgment hereby incorporates by reference the definitions in the Amended Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement with the Settling Defendants entered into on September 5, 2019 (the “Settlement Agreement”), and all terms used herein, except as otherwise expressly defined herein, shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

2. The Court finds that it has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 to enter this Final Judgment and that it has personal jurisdiction over the Plaintiffs, the Settling Defendants (in this Action only and for purposes of this Settlement), and all members of the Settlement Class.

3. This Action, including each claim in this Action, is hereby dismissed with prejudice on the merits as to the Settling Defendants and without fees or costs.

4. Upon the Settlement Agreement becoming final in accordance with its terms, all of the following claims shall be released. Specifically:

(A) The Releasing Parties finally and forever release and discharge from and covenant not to sue the Released Parties for any and all manner of claims,

¹ Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited was formerly known, and was sued as The Sumitomo Trust and Banking Co., Ltd. (“STB”). The Chuo Mitsui Trust and Banking Company, Limited, which was also sued in the *Laydon* action, merged into STB prior to the action to form Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Bank, Limited.

including unknown claims, causes of action, cross-claims, counter-claims, charges, liabilities, demands, judgments, suits, obligations, debts, setoffs, rights of recovery, or liabilities for any obligations of any kind whatsoever (however denominated), whether class, derivative or individual, in law or equity or arising under constitution, statute, regulation, ordinance, contract, common law or otherwise in nature, for fees, costs, penalties, fines, debts, expenses, attorneys' fees, interest and damages, whenever incurred, for restitution or any other payment of money, and for liabilities of any nature whatsoever (including joint and several), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, which Settling Class Members or any of them ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall or may have, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity, against the Released Parties arising from or relating in any way to conduct alleged in the Actions, or which could have been alleged in the Actions against the Released Parties, including but not limited to conduct concerning any Euroyen-Based Derivatives or any similar financial instruments priced, benchmarked, settled to or otherwise affected by Yen-LIBOR or Euroyen TIBOR purchased, sold, held, traded, and/or transacted by the Representative Plaintiffs, Class Members, and/or Settling Class Members (to the extent such similar financial instruments were entered into by a U.S. Person, or by a Person from or through a location within the U.S.), or in which any of the foregoing otherwise had any interest, or including, but not limited to, any alleged manipulation of Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen-LIBOR under the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., or any other statute, regulation, or common law, or any purported conspiracy, collusion, racketeering activity, or other improper conduct relating to Euroyen TIBOR and/or Yen-LIBOR (including, but not limited to, all claims under Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1 et seq., the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968, and any other federal or state statute, regulation, or common law). The following claims shall not be released by this Settlement: (i) any claims against former employees of Settling Defendants arising solely from those former employees' conduct that occurred while not employed by any of the Settling Defendants; (ii) any claims against the named Defendants in these Actions other than Settling Defendants; (iii) any claims against inter-dealer brokers or their employees or agents when and solely to the extent they were engaged as employees or agents of the other Defendants or of inter-dealer brokers; or (iv) any claims against any Defendant who may be subsequently added in these Actions, other than any Released Party. For the avoidance of doubt, Released Claims does not include claims arising under foreign law based solely on transactions executed entirely outside the United States by Settling Class Members domiciled outside the United States.

(B) Although the foregoing release is not a general release, such release constitutes a waiver of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code (to the extent it applies to the Actions), which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS
WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR
SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE

TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

This release also constitutes a waiver of any and all provisions, rights, and benefits of any federal, state or foreign law, rule, regulation, or principle of law or equity that is similar, comparable, equivalent to, or which has the effect of, Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. The Settling Class Members acknowledge that they are aware that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to, or different from, those facts which they know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement, but that it is their intention to release fully, finally, and forever all of the Released Claims, and in furtherance of such intention, the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different facts. In entering and making this Agreement, the Parties assume the risk of any mistake of fact or law and the release shall be irrevocable and remain in effect notwithstanding any mistake of fact or law.

5. The Court, finding no just reason for delay, directs pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that the judgment of dismissal as to the Settling Defendants shall be final and entered forthwith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this ____ day of _____, 2019.

Honorable George B. Daniels
United States District Judge